

A DIARY
Dated: 07.08.2024

1.	OP No. 6/2024 Puthoor Pally Juma Masjid, Kottayam Order not ready. Posted to 11.09.2024
2.	<p>OP No. 158/2022 Ilfathul Islam Sangham (Ponnurnni Jama-ath), Ernakualm</p> <p>The petitioners who are the members and beneficiaries of the Ilfathul Islam Sangham (Ponnurunni Jama-ath) which is a waqf registered with the Board as 3260/RA filed this petition seeking to take action on the basis of audit report for the years 2012-2013 to 2017-18, to appoint an auditor to audit the accounts of the waqf for the year 2018-19 to 2021-22, to remove the respondents 4 to 8 from the committee elected as per the election conducted on 25/09/2022, to appoint a mutawalli and for other related reliefs. While the said matter was pending before the Board the present I.A is filed by the petitioners seeking to appoint an Interim Mutawalli for the administration of the Jama-ath. The petitioners had raised several allegations against the respondents with regard to the administration and financial mismanagement of the waqf by the respondents. The respondents submitted counter stating that none of the ingredients which arrements interference of Board under section 63 of the Waqf Act is attracted in this case. Prior to the election of the present committee in the year 2022 the waqf was administered by an interim mutawalli appointed by the Board who had conducted election and the present committee too charge.</p> <p>It is seen that invoking power of the Board under section 63 of the Waqf Act,1995 is not attracted as the allegations pointed out is not sufficient to appoint an interim mutawalli invoking powers conferred under section 63 of the Waqf Act,1995. The petitioners failed to convince the Board the circumstances which warrants appointment of Interim Mutawalli. In such circumstances the Board finds that this petition is not liable to be entertained and hence the petition stands dismissed.</p>
3.	OP No. 108/2017 Chuloor Muslim Jama-ath, Thrissur Order not ready. Posted to 11.09.2024
4.	<p>OP No. 190/2018 Chiramanengad Muhiyudeen Juma Masjid, Thrissur</p> <p>The above OP is filed by the petitioners seeking to direct the respondents to withdraw the order of banning the petitioner for life time from the JAma-ath or to</p>

cancel the said decision, to direct the 1st respondent not to cause any hindrance to the petitioners in acting as office bearers of the Mahal if the mahal members elect them and for other related reliefs. The petitioner alleged that dispute arose between the petitioners and 1st respondent, as the petitioners questioned the action of the 1st respondent in which he had accepted the resignation of a Madrassa teacher against whom one of the students had alleged offence of molesting her and had permitted him to go away from the MAhal without taking legal action against him. The petitioners submitted that subsequent to that the petitioners were elected as office bearers of the Mahal from the General body but the 1st respondent informed that the general body had already banned them for life. According to the petitioners the general body had not taken any such decision and the 1st respondent and his henchmen had concocted documents with regard to that.

Petitioners had produced documents to prove that they had remitted monthly subscription to the Jma-ath after the date which is mentioned by the respondents that the petitioners were banned. They had also produced a represented submitted by some of the MAhal members stating that no decision was taken to ban petitioners by the General body.

The respondents denied the allegations raised by the petitioners and submitted that payment of monthly subscription does not confer membership to the petitioners . They had denied each and every allegations and submitted that if the petitioners submit an application for including them in the general body the committee is ready to place the same before the general body and the decision of the General Body shall be implemented.

The contention of the respondent that payment of monthly subscription does not confer membership to the petitioners is not at all maintainable. Monthly subscription is paid by the persons who are members of

the Jama-ath and it is not accepted from outside persons. Also, no right is vested with any Jama-ath committee or even General Body to ban a person for life time from a general body of Mahal Jama-ath. The said act can be said only as barbarian. Jama-ath can impose reasonable restrictions on its members so as to maintain its unity and proper functioning. But that cannot result in affecting any religious or human rights of the members. Banning a person for life time will definitely affects human rights of the person who is banned and that cannot be allowed at any cost.

In the light of above finding the following order is passed

- a) The petitioners are entitled for all rights as a member of the Jama-ath and the ban which is alleged to be imposed on them is illegal and is hereby cancelled.
- b) The petitioners are free to contest election in the Jama-ath committee and have every right to be elected as office bearers of the Jama-ath .
- c) The petitioners are further directed to co-operate with the Jama-ath and shall ensure that the unity of the Jama-ath is maintained.

5. OP No. 06/2021 Mayyathankara Jama-ath Pally, Palakkad
Order not ready. Posted to 11.09.2024

6. AA No. 82/2024 Chembalasserry Muhiyudeen Pally, Idukky

Present appeal is filed by the Secretary of Chembalasserry Muhiyudeen Pally, Idukky under section 72(7) of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to set aside the assessment of the order passed by the assessing authority for the assessment year 2014-2015 to 2015-2016 and to pass a fresh order in this regard. The appellant had remitted the 1/3rd of the disputed of amount for the year 2014-2015 to 2015-2016 as provide under the Kerala Waqf Rules.

The averment in the appeal memorandum is that the failure of submission of accounts of the waqf is not willful, that it occurred due to the non action on the part of erstwhile committee members and prayed for pardon for the non submission of accounts before Board. Hence, they approached this appellate authority seeking to set aside the order of assessment passed by the Assessing Authority and to exempt from remitting the amount so assessed.

On verification of the office records, it is seen that Best Judgment Assessment was made as the committee administering the waqf failed to submit the accounts before the Board as contemplated under the Waqf Act and the waqf failed to remit the contribution amount due. Even though several notices directing to submit accounts were issued to the Jama-ath, it failed to submit accounts in time. In the above circumstances, the assessing authority has assessed the waqf on best judgment basis for the disputed period.

On going through the above facts and circumstances, the Board is of the opinion that one more opportunity can be given to the appellant to prove the contentions raised in the appeal. The assessing authority is directed to re-assess the accounts of the waqf for the appeal period in accordance with the Act, Rules and Regulations, after considering the returns submitted along with the appeal memorandum. If the assessing authority is not satisfied with the accounts submitted along with this appeal, the authority is free to call for the supporting books and documents and conduct audit of accounts if found necessary.

The present appeal is disposed of with the above direction.

7. AA No. 84/2024 Sharaful Islam Jama-ath, Alappuzha

Present appeal is filed by the Secretary of Sharaful Islam Samajam, Nooranad, Alappuzha under section 72(7) of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to set aside the assessment of the order passed by the assessing authority for the assessment year 2022-2023 and to pass a fresh order in this regard. The appellant had remitted the 1/3rd of the disputed of amount for the year 2022-2023 as provide under the Kerala Waqf Rules.

The averment in the appeal memorandum is that the failure of submission of accounts of the waqf is not willful, that it occurred due to the non action on the part of erstwhile committee members and prayed for pardon for the non submission of accounts before Board. Hence, they approached this appellate authority seeking to set aside the order of assessment passed by the Assessing Authority and to exempt from remitting the amount so assessed.

On verification of the office records, it is seen that Best Judgment Assessment was made as the committee administering the waqf failed to submit the accounts before the Board as contemplated under the Waqf Act and the waqf failed to remit the contribution amount due. Even though several notices directing to submit accounts were issued to the

Jama-ath, it failed to submit accounts in time. In the above circumstances, the assessing authority has assessed the waqf on best judgment basis for the disputed period.

On going through the above facts and circumstances, the Board is of the opinion that one more opportunity can be given to the appellant to prove the contentions raised in the appeal. The assessing authority is directed to re-assess the accounts of the waqf for the appeal period in accordance with the Act, Rules and Regulations, after considering the returns submitted along with the appeal memorandum. If the assessing authority is not satisfied with the accounts submitted along with this appeal, the authority is free to call for the supporting books and documents and conduct audit of accounts if found necessary. The present appeal is disposed of with the above direction.

8. AA No. 86/2024 Noor Juma Masjid and Nifthahul Uloor Madarassa (Valiya Jaram Masjid), Idukky

Present appeal is filed by the Secretary of Noor Juma Masjid and Mifthahul Uloom Madrassa (Valiya Jaram), Idukky under section 72(7) of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to set aside the assessment of the order passed by the assessing authority for the assessment year 2022-2023 pass a fresh order in this regard. The appellant had remitted the 1/3rd of the disputed of amount for the year 2022-2023 as provide under the Kerala Waqf Rules.

The averment in the appeal memorandum is that the failure of submission of accounts of the waqf is not willfull, that the waqf is not having sufficient funds for remitting the contribution and prayed for pardon for the non submission of accounts before Board. Hence, they approached this appellate authority seeking to set aside the order of assessment passed by the Assessing Authority and to exempt from remitting the amount so assessed.

On verification of the office records, it is seen that Best Judgment Assessment was made as the committee administering the waqf failed to submit the accounts before the Board as contemplated under the Waqf Act and the waqf failed to remit the contribution amount due. Even though several notices directing to submit accounts were issued to the Jama-ath, it failed to submit accounts in time. In the above circumstances, the assessing authority has assessed the waqf on best judgment basis for the disputed period.

On going through the above facts and circumstances, the Board is of the opinion that one more opportunity can be given to the appellant to prove the contentions raised in the appeal. The assessing authority is directed to re-assess the accounts of the waqf for the appeal period in accordance with the Act, Rules and Regulations, after considering the returns submitted along with the appeal memorandum. If the assessing authority is not satisfied with the accounts submitted along with this appeal, the authority is free to call for the supporting books and documents and conduct audit of accounts if found necessary. The present appeal is disposed of with the above direction.

9. AA No. 88/2024 Usmaneeya Moullood Waqf, Alappuzha

Present appeal is filed by the Secretary of Usmaniyya Maullood Waqf, Alappuzha under section 72(7) of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to set aside the assessment of the order passed by the assessing authority for the assessment year 2019-2020 to 2022-2023 pass a fresh order in this regard. The appellant had remitted the 1/3rd of the disputed of amount for the year 2019-2020 to 2022-2023 as provide under the Kerala Waqf Rules.

The averment in the appeal memorandum is that the failure of submission of accounts of the waqf is not willfull, that the waqf is not having sufficient funds for remitting the contribution and prayed for pardon for the non submission of accounts before Board. Hence, they approached this appellate authority seeking to set aside the order of assessment passed by the Assessing Authority and to exempt from remitting the amount so assessed.

On verification of the office records, it is seen that Best Judgment Assessment was made as the committee administering the waqf failed to submit the accounts before the Board as contemplated under the Waqf Act and the waqf failed to remit the contribution amount due. Even though several notices directing to submit accounts were issued to the Jama-ath, it failed to submit accounts in time. In the above circumstances, the assessing authority has assessed the waqf on best judgment basis for the disputed period.

On going through the above facts and circumstances, the Board is of the opinion that one more opportunity can be given to the appellant to prove the contentions raised in the appeal. The assessing authority is directed to re-assess the accounts of the waqf for the appeal period in

	<p>accordance with the Act, Rules and Regulations, after considering the returns submitted along with the appeal memorandum. If the assessing authority is not satisfied with the accounts submitted along with this appeal, the authority is free to call for the supporting books and documents and conduct audit of accounts if found necessary.</p> <p>The present appeal is disposed of with the above direction.</p>
10.	<p>OP No. 236/2023 Vazhoor Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam</p> <p>Order not ready. Posted to 11.09.2024</p>
11.	<p>OP No. 146/2015 Khadimul Islam Palakkazhi Puthen Juma Masjid, Palakkad</p> <p>The petitioners in the OP had filed this petition under sections 32, 69, 70 and 71 of the Waqf Act 1995 seeking for an overall enquiry regarding the administration of the waqf, to frame a scheme for management and for other related reliefs.</p> <p>According to the petitioner Khadimul Islam Palakkazhi Puthen palli and its properties are waqf properties registered with the Board and petitioners are the members and be beneficiaries of the said waqf established by the Muslim public in the locality and various properties were later acquired by the waqf by way of dedication from Islamic believers and also through purchase. According to the petitioners the waqf is having several properties and institutions including Juma Masjid, mosque Madrasa etc. Petitioner submitted that initially the waqf was administered by Karanavan / muthawalli. The petitioners briefly explained the history of the jama-ath and submitted that majority of the members of the jama-ath are following the ideologies propagated by Kerala Nadvathul Mujahideen. According to the petitioners the split occurred in KNM creating different groups and all groups are having followers among the Jama-ath members. The petitioners also submitted that KNM has no direct involvement in the administration or establishment of the waqf and its properties and now they are making a propaganda in the jama-ath stating that the mosque and other properties are belonging to a society under KNM and only members of the official group of KNM will have the right to administration and that there is a registered bye law for the jama-ath. According to the petitioners there is no such bye law for the jama-ath and KNM has nothing to do with its administration. The petitioners further submitted that for the last several years no proper General Body is convened in the jama-ath and the respondents are administering the waqf in an irresponsible manner causing huge loss the jama ath.</p> <p>Petitioners also submitted that the respondents are using the</p>

mosque and its premises as a party office for KNM and the valuable trees and laterite stones were cut and removed from the waqf properties without the permission of the board.

The respondents appeared and submitted counter stating that KNM is the mutawalli of the waqf of and the property covered under document number 1056/1958 alone was dedicated as work of an most of the Other properties were purchase by knm and same is administered by a managing committee elected under the provisions of bye law registered by the state committee from time to time and as per the amendment of the bye Law the term of the committee is 5 years. According to the response the administration has been carried out by the knm local unit and nobody was elected from outside the km organisation. The respondent further contended that it is not correct to state that for the last several years no General Body Meeting is convened and that they have never denied membership and religious services to any member in the jama-ath and they contented that there is absolutely no necessity to frame a scheme for the administration of the JAma-ath.

On perusal of the file and the documents it is seen that at present there is no necessity to frame a scheme for the administration of the waqf as the waqf is administered on the basis of a scheme and it is seen that even the father of PW1 was the secretary of the waqf as per the said scheme. The respondents had produced copy of scheme of the waqf and hence it is clear that the administration is carried out as per a scheme in existence. This petition can be seen as a petition filed by a faction of KNM which was earlier with the official KNM and now forms a separate group due to split in KNM. The petitioner had not produced sufficient document to prove that framing of scheme is necessary and that the respondents are administering the waqf without any authority. In such circumstances the Board finds that the OP deserves to be dismissed. Matter is dismissed accordingly.

12. OP No. 68/2020 Abdul Latheef Haji Ismail Sait Trust, Thrissur

This Original Petition is filed by one Kochu Koya Thangal and others under section 25,27 &70 of the Waqf Act seeking to grant permission to the petitioners to construct the mosque by name Hassan Palli Juma Masjid through public participation and to issue direction to the respondent to comply the direction in O.P.114/19 dated 10.10.2019.

Abdul Latheef Haji Ismail Dharmastapanam is a waqf registered with the Board with Reg.No.2969. According to the petitioners, the

Board through its order dated 10.10.2019 in O.P.114/2019 directed the mutawalli to reconstruct the mosque within one year from the date of order and to submit the plan and estimate of the construction of the work within one month from the date of order. The said order was issued on the basis of affidavit submitted by the mutawalli of the waqf.

The petitioners further submitted that their intention is only to reconstruct the mosque for the purpose of conducting religious rites and ceremonies and that they will not claim any right over the masjid after the completion of its works and that they are ready to submit the accounts on renovation /reconstruction and stated that they are ready to reconstruct the masjid for which permission from the Board is required.

After filing of this petition the Mutawalli had submitted plan and estimate for the proposed construction before the Divisional Waqf Officer, Thrissur. Accordingly, the Board had directed the mutawalli to deposit an amount of Rs.10 lakh prior to the starting of construction work estimate of which is Rs. 90 lakh. However, the mutawalli failed to deposit the same and instead applied for extending the time granted for depositing the money. Hence, direction was issued to the mutawall to show cause as to why prosecution steps shall not be taken against him for non-compliance of the Board Order vide order dtd 06.12.2021.

The respondent filed a reply statement to the order dated 6.12.21 stating that the reason for delay in complying the Board Order in OP 114/2019 is already intimated to the Board by the Mutawalli and requested to drop the proposed prosecution .Thereafter, the respondents herein submitted the plan and estimate for the reconstruction of Kadappuram Hassan Palli. Later on, the respondents filed an application to release the amount required for reconstruction of the mosque from the compensation amount awarded in the Land Acquisition proceedings of National Highway Authority. While this matter was pending before the Board the Board had passed an order dated 14/02/2024 in OP 62/2013 appointing an interim mutawalli for the administration of the waqf and at present the said interim mutawalli is administering the waqf as directed by the Board. Hence, Interim Mutwalli is directed to take steps for reconstruction of the mosque utilizing the various funds of the waqf after obtaining requisite permissions from the concerned authorities and following all procedural formalities. If any clarification is needed with regard to the procedure to be followed and further steps to be taken the interim mutawalli can approach the Chief Executive Officer and obtain

	direction with regard to that.
13.	OP No. 62/2022 Amayoor Juma Masjid, Palakkad Order not ready. Posted to 11.09.2024
14.	<p>OP No. 126/2018 Pudoor Puthen Pally, Palakkad</p> <p>This O.P is filed by the petitioners under section 32 and 70 of the Waqf Act seeking to conduct an enquiry about the affairs of the management of the waqf, to declare that the jama ath committee has no right to ex-communicate the members of the jama ath , to appoint an auditor to audit the accounts of the waqf for the period from 2010-2018, to appoint a Returning officer to conduct election through secret ballot, to pass orders directing the respondents 1 and 2 to recover 55 cents of property comprised in Sy. No: 77/4 and 77/5 of Periyari Village from the 3rd respondent and to pass orders to recover all waqf properties which are unauthorizedly occupied and possessed by third parties.</p> <p>According to the petitioners,the respondents 1 and 2,the President and Secretary of the Waqf are illegally managing the affairs of the waqf and have ex-communicated the petitioners and some other members .They further stated that last election to the jama ath committee was conducted in the year 2016 , and even after the expiry of their tenure no steps has been taken to conduct the election until now. The petitioners had raised allegations regarding alienation of waqf property also. They further added that the respondents are reluctant in complying the directions issued by the Board in the matter of ex-communication and that of conducting election and that they are managing the waqf arbitrarily.</p> <p>Upon receipt of the petition notices were issued to the parties directing to appear before the Board and they appeared and submitted their version . I.A.101/18 was filed by the petitioners to stay the order of excommunication issued from the jama - ath and for directing the respondents to provide all services to the petitioners from the jama ath was allowed by the Board on 30.07.2018. Likewise, I.A. 64/22 filed by the petitioners to delete the 3rd sentence in Para No: 1 as well as 5th and 6th reliefs from O.P. was allowed by the Board on 17.05.2022. Though 6 reliefs were included in the O.P., presently, the petitioners are adhering to one prayer that is to conduct election only (relief No: 4 in OP).</p> <p>The Board had appointed Adv.Mohammed Shaffi as returning officer to conduct the election to the JAMA-ath Committee. Against the said order of the Board the respondents have filed OA 45/22 before the Hon'ble Waqf Tribunal, KKD.</p> <p>It is seen that from the year 2014 onwards the respondent committee is continuing in the office and no election was conducted even after the appointment of Returning Officer by the Board on 06.09.2022. The election procedure has not been initiated by the Returning Officer due to various reasons. Since, there is dispute in the method of election the matter was referred for mediation by the Board. After posting the matter for mediation on several dates, both parties submitted their suggestions regarding the conduct of election and the matter was posted for orders. The Board considered the suggestions of both the parties and had</p>

decided to give necessary directions to the Returning Officer considering the best interest of the waqf. The Returning Officer shall conduct the election in the following manner:

1. Election shall be conducted through secret ballot system.
2. A 19 member committee is to be elected in the mahallu and the election is to be conducted on ward basis. Altogether there are 5 wards in the mahallu as per precedents and office bearers of managing committee is to be elected taking into consideration the representation / number of houses in each ward. Five members are to be elected from wards where the number of members are more than 200, 4 members are to be elected from wards where the number of members are more than 100 and 2 members are to be elected from wards where the number of members are less than 100. The 5 wards will be as follows: a) Padinjamuri ward b) Kizhakkumuri ward c) Nadumuri ward d) Mambra ward and e) Odukampotta Ward.
3. All male members within the jurisdiction of the Jama-ath who had attained 18 years of age will be eligible to vote. Those members who are not having any dues shall be eligible to vote and opportunity to clear the dues must be provided to the members.
4. Separate election shall be conducted for each ward and nominations shall be received on individual basis and not in Panel basis.
5. The Voters list of each ward shall be published separately.
6. The existing committee shall provide opportunity to eligible persons to enter their names in the membership list after paying requisite membership fees or dues and shall hand over the list to the Returning Officer within a period of 2 weeks from the date of receipt of this order.
7. Upon getting the membership list the Returning Officer shall start the election procedure and shall complete the election process within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of order.
8. The expenses for conducting election shall be met from the Jama-ath funds and the Returning Officer shall fix the requisite fee for submitting nominations.

The Returning Officer shall complete the election process and the newly elected committee shall take charge immediately after declaration of result.

15. OP No. 122/2023 Kutilaji Methala Muhiyudeen Juma Masjid, Ernakulam
Order not ready. Posted to 11.09.2024

16. OP No. 38/2024 Perunkuzhi Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram
The above O.P. is filed under sections 32,55,56 and 70 of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to extend the period of lease of KSFE or to invite tenders for leasing out the building to ensure reasonable rent to the Jama-ath and to issue a further direction to the respondents to ensure that no lease shall be created on the buildings of the 1st respondent without

complying the provisionus Waqf properties lease Rules 2014.

Petitioners had raised serious financial allegations against the respondents. According to the petitioners they are the members and beneficiaries of the Jama-ath . The petitioners alleged that the Jama-ath is having income from various sources such as 40,000/- per month towards the rent of the building, that the respondents had failed to discharge their duties and responsibilities as mutawalli of the Waqf, that the respondents are handling the amounts of the Jama-ath for their personal needs. The petitioners further alleged that the respondents had purchased property and had constructed a huge building without prior sanction from the Waqf Board, that a portion of the building was leased out for the functioning of the State Bank of India, KSFE& ATM counter, that the branch manager of the KSFE had made representation for renewal of their lease period for further 15 years more on 27/07/2023 and that present lessee is to be given preference while renewing lease. The petitioners had filed I.A. No.39/2024 in the above case for an interim direction to the respondents not to lease out the buildings owned by the 1st respondent Jama-ath without complying with the provisions of Waqf properties lease rules,2014 and same was allowed by the Board.

The respondents submitted a counter stating that the financial dealings of the Jama-ath committee are transparent and income & expenditure are dealt in a correct manner .They had further submitted that they are administering the waqf property for the welfare and benefit of the jama ath and is regularly paying the annual contribution to the Board, which higher than the amount paid by the previous committee. The respondent submitted KSFE which is the current tenant is demanding new improvements like staircase, strong room, tiled floor etc which will cause huge financial investment from the said of the respondent committee. Therefore, the majority of the committee decided to take action as per the provisions of Waqf Act for leasing out the property to persons who are ready to pay higher rent.

The respondents are ready to lease out the property as per the provisions of Waqf Act and corresponding Rules regarding leasing out property. The Board cannot pass an order directing to lease out the property to a particular tenant. Hence that prayer in the OP is

	dismissed. The respondent Jama-ath committee shall lease out the waqf property only after following all procedures prescribed in the Waqf Properties Lease Rules.
17.	OP No. 110/2023 Kilikolloor Valiya Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam Order not ready. Posted to 11.09.2024
18.	OP No. 124/2023 Neeravil Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam Order not ready. Posted to 11.09.2024
19.	OP No. 216/2023 Kumarapuram Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram Order not ready. Posted to 11.09.2024
20.	IA No. 88/2024 in OP No. 116/2021 Kaitharam Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 11.09.2024
21.	EP No. (A9) 620/2023/TSR School of Quran, Thrissur Order not ready. Posted to 11.09.2024
22.	OP No. 16/2023 Town Juma Masjid, Thrissur Order not ready. Posted to 11.09.2024
23.	OP No. 134/2017 Haji Usman Haji Allarakhiya and Ayyoob Haji Abdul Rahiman Trust, Ernakulam For evidence. Posted to 11.09.2024
24.	OP No. 166/2015 Randarkkara Himayathul Muslimeen Yatheemkhana, Ernakulam Respondent proof affidavit with list of documents filed. Serve copy to otherside. For commission report. Posted to 09.10.2024
25.	EP No. A8-3101/2022 Kairadi Mahallu Jama-ath, Palakkad Adv. Thoyyib Hudavi and Adv. Ansari already filed vakkalath in the administrative side. Audit report submitted. For objection to audit report and For 1 and 18 Adv. E. S. M. Kabeer filed. Statement of 1, 3, 6, 7, 9, and 13 (B party) already filed. Fresh notice to 2, 5, 8, 10, 11 and 12 (B party) and for statement of 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14 (A party) and objection to audit report. Posted to 09.10.2024
26.	EP No. (B5) 867/2016 Aluva Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Serve petition in IA No. 74/2024. For steps. Posted to 09.10.2024
27.	OP No. 106/2017 Amaravathy Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Chief affidavit of the respondent not filed. Call on 09.10.2024
28.	OP No. 186/2018 Abdul Sathar Haji Moosa Sait Dharmastapanam, Ernakulam Amendment carried out. Notice to impleaded party served. Acknowledgement returned. For report of the administrator (Supplemental Respondent). IA No. 230/2024 for receipt of documents.

	Heard. Allowed. Posted to 09.10.2024
29.	OP No. 48/2018 Pavaratty Town Juma Masjid, Thrissur Notice to counsel for respondents served. Adv. Arun Kumar appeared. Submitted the documents. Respondent already submitted documents. For verification of documents. Posted to 09.10.2024
30.	EP No. (A8) 5771/2019 Edakkazhiyoor Juma-ath Pally, Thrissur For mediation respondent present. Petitioner not present. Posted to 11.09.2024
31.	OP No. 136/2019 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Commision report not submitted. For commission report. Posted to 09.10.2024
32.	OP No. 66/2020 Ilfathul Islam Sangham(Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam with connected OP No. 50/2020. For steps. Posted to 09.10.2024
33.	OP No. 50/2020 Ilfathul Islam Sangham(Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam For steps in connected OP No. 66/2024. Posted to 09.10.2024
34.	OP No. 16/2020 Kuriyathole Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For proof affidavit finally. Posted to 09.10.2024
35.	OP No. 46/2021 Kochangadi Jama-ath Pally, Ernakulam High court order not produced. For production of the stay order. Posted to 11.09.2024
36.	OP No. 130/2021 Nusrathul Islam Madrassa Committee, Ernakulam For steps. Posted to 09.10.2024
37.	EP No. (A8) 4283/2021/TSR Karakkad Jama-ath Pally, Palakkad No expate affidavit filed. For proof affidavit. Posted to 09.10.2024
38.	OP No. 136/2022 Marampally Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Direction to interim muthawalli cum returning officer Adv. Peer Mohammed Khan served. For report. Posted to 09.10.2024
39.	OP No. 06/2022 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For audit report. Posted to 09.10.2024
40.	EP No. 7539/2022 Vaduthala Kottoor Kattupuram Jama-ath, Ernakulam Notice in IA No. 115/2024 and 149/2024 served. Heard in IA No. 115/2024 allowed. IA No. 149/2023 not pressed. Dismissed. For counter 2, 14,18, 27, 40,11, 16, 17, 32, 39, 44, 48, 49, 34, 42. Party array arranged accordingly. 53 shamsudeen and 54 Kabeer. For objection and hearing. Posted to 11.09.2024.
41.	OP No. 188/2022 Kakkattiri Juma Masjid, Palakkad

	Respondent committee produced document mentioned in IA No. 171/2024. Serve to the petitioner and verification of the same. Posted to 09.10.2024
42.	OP No. 104/2022 Pathiyasserri Muhiyudeen Juma Masjid, Thrissur Amendment carried out. For commission report. Directed the commission to complete evidence. Posted to 09.10.2024
43.	OP No. 86/2022 Pezhakkappilly Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For report of the Returning Officer. Posted to 11.09.2024
44.	OP No. 138/2022 Maleriyam Jama-ath Pally, Palakkad Steps taken by the respondents. Matter not pressed. OP dismissed.
45.	OP No. 174/2022 Muhiyudeen Juma Masjid, Kottayam For commission report. Posted to 09.10.2024
46.	OP No. 54/2022 Mannar Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha For argument notes of the respondent. Posted to 09.10.2024
47.	OP No. 116/2022 Konthalappally Juma Masjid, Idukky Respondent committee has not remitted the amount. Today petitioner paid full subscription. For orders. Posted to 09.10.2024
48.	OP No. 98/2022 Paimattom Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For steps. Posted to 09.10.2024
49.	OP No. 50/2023 Ponkunnam Muhiyudeen Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam For counter of supplementary respondents. Posted to 09.10.2024
50.	OP No. 32/2023 Thottumugham Padinjare Pally, Ernakulam No proof affidavit filed. Document list filed. Allowed. For proof affidavit. Posted to 09.10.2024
51.	OP No. 212/2023 Anwar Masjid, Thrissur No counter in IA No. 187/2023 and 186/2023 and No counter in main OP. The counsel for the petitioner reports no instruction. Set exparte. For orders. Posted to 09.10.2024
52.	OP No. 234/2023 Manjakkulam Pally Makham Madrassa Committee, Thrissur Audit report submitted. Divisional Waqf Officer present. Objection to audit report. In IA No. 231/2024 filed along with documents. Returning officer is directed not to proceed with the election. Both sides shall submit membership list before the Board. Call on 11.09.2024.
53.	OP No. 192/2023 Ilfathul Islam Sangham (Ponnuruni Jama-ath), Ernakulam Counter of all respondent filed. (R3 to R5) with document in IA No. 190/2023 and main OP. For steps. Posted to 09.10.2024

54.	OP No. 214/2023 Kottol Mahall Central Juma Masjid Committee, Thrissur Parties not turned for mediation. For mediation. Posted to 11.09.2024
55.	OP No. 60/2023 Pallikkara Muslim Jama-ath Committee, Ernakulam IA No. 227/2024, IA No. 228/2024, IA No. 229/2024, served. For counter. Posted to 11.09.2024
56.	OP No. 168/2023 Puvathoor Juma-ath Muhiyudeen Masjid, Ernakulam Audit is over. Await audit report. Posted to 09.10.2024
57.	OP No. 150/2023 Puthenchira Padinjare Muslim Jama-ath, Thrissur For steps. Posted to 09.10.2024
58.	IA No. 132/2023 in OP No. 56/2021 Chettiyangadi Hanafi Sunnath Jama-ath, Thrissur For steps. Finally. Posted to 09.10.2024
59.	OP No. 08/2023 Puthoor Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam For proof affidavit. Posted to 09.10.2024
60.	OP No. 222/2023 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam IA No. 222/2024 petition to set aside the exparte order. IA No. 234/2024 to condone delay. Heard. Allowed. For counter. Posted to 09.10.2024
61.	OP No. 210/2023 Kottol Mahallu Central Juma Masjid Committee, Thrissur IA No. 149/2024 not pressed. IA No. 149/2024 closed. Returning officer present. New election notification issued. For report of the Returning officer. Posted to 11.09.2024
62.	OP No. 238/2023 Markkassu Dawathi Sunneeya, Thrissur Notice to the respondents served. Adv. Mammoker filed vakkalath and counter in IA No. 252/2024. For counter in OP. Posted to 09.10.2024
63.	OP No. 242/2023 Kanjippuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha For counter in IA No. 145/2024 and counter in OP. Posted to 09.10.2024
64.	OP No. 252/2023 Meppara Jama-ath Mosque, Palakkad No representation for the respondents. Heard. For orders. Posted 09.10.2024
65.	OP No. 200/2023 Broadway Hanafy Jama-ath Masjid, Ernakulam For hearing in IA No. 180/2023. Posted to 09.10.2024
66.	OP No. 34/2023 Amaravathy Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Returning officer is directed to conduct election as per by-law. For report of the Returning officer. Posted to 09.10.2024
67.	EP No. 4270/2023 Munavvirul Islam Mahallu Committee, Palakkad statement filed by the 1 st petitioner. For orders. Posted to 09.10.2024

68.	OP No. 244/2023 Puthoor Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam For counter in IA No. 153/2024. Posted to 11.09.2024
69.	OP No. 256/2023 Ponnad Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath Perunthuruth Hidayathul Islam Sangham, Alappzha No counter to IA No. 160/2024 and 161/2024. Heard. Allowed. Await audit report. Carry out amendment. For steps. Posted to 09.10.2024
70.	EP No. 723/2023 Punnappadam Kakkod Puthen Pally Jama-ath Committee, Palakkad For statement of party No. 1. For appearance of parties and mediation on 11.09.2024
71.	EP No. 6868/2023/TSR Kollamkode Jama-ath Pally, Palakkad Vakkalath offered Adv. C. M. Mohammed Iqbal. For party No. 1 Adv. K. M. Firoz. Party No. 7 and 9. Notice 2 to 6 served. Party No. 2 to 6, 8 Name called. Set exparte. For statement of party No. 1, 7, and 9. Posted to 09.10.2024
72.	OP No. 94/2023 Muhiyudeen Masjid Jama-ath Committee, Ernakulam Counter of additional respondents filed. Copy served. For steps. Posted to 09.10.2024
73.	OP No. 86/2023 Kanjiramchira Muslim Jama-ath Salamathul Iqwan Juma Masjid Mahall, Alappuzha Fresh vakkalath filed by adv. Hameed Manathalasserry. Order pronounced in IA No. 73 and 74/2023. For counter in OP. No counter, no representation by the respondent. Set exparte. For exparte chief affidavit. Posted to 09.10.2024
74.	OP No. 44/2023 Kuttulanji Methala Muhiyudeen Juma Masjid, Ernakulam For orders. IA No. 221/2024 and 217/2024. Posted to 11.09.2024
75.	OP No. 158/2023 Ponkunnam Muhiyudeen Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam With connected OP No. 50/2023. For steps. Posted to 09.10.2024
76.	OP No. 78/2024 Thayikkattukara Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Amendment carried out. Issue notice to the additional 6 th respondents. Posted to 09.10.2024
77.	OP No. 54/2024 Puthoor Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Counter to IA No. 100/2024 filed. For hearing. Posted to 11.09.2024
78.	OP No. 58/2024 Mannar Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha For counter in OP and IA. Posted to 09.10.2024
79.	OP No. 62/2024 Alappara Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam For steps finally. Posted to 11.09.2024
80.	OP No. 20/2024 Punnappadam Kakkod Puthen Pally Jama-ath,

	<p>Kottayam Adv. Alimuthu filed vakkalath and IA No. 233/2024 to set aside exparte order. Heard. Allowed. Counter in IA No. 27/2024 filed. For counter in OP. Posted to 11.09.2024</p>
81.	<p>OP No. 80/2024 Pazhayalakkidi Hidayathul Islam Mahallu Jama-ath, Palakkad Vakkalath filed. For counter in IA No. 101/2024 and OP. Posted to 09.10.2024</p>
82.	<p>OP No. 60/2024 Hyderiyya Masjid Mahallu Committee, Palakkad Counter in IA No. 159/2024 filed. For orders. Posted to 11.09.2024</p>
83.	<p>OP No. 64/2024 Hyderiyya Masajid Mahallu Committee, Palakkad with connected matter. Posted to 11.09.2024</p>
84.	<p>OP No. 96/2024 Kaithakkad Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Counter filed in IA No. 124/2024. For counter in main OP. Posted to 09.10.2024</p>
85.	<p>OP No. 98/2024 Kaitharam Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Filed vakkalath for the Respondent. For counter in IA No. 142/2024 and counter in OP. Posted to 11.09.2024</p>
86.	<p>OP No. 04/2024 Ilippakkulam Kattanam Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Interim report filed. For report of the returning officer. Posted to 09.10.2024</p>
87.	<p>OP No. 228/2023 Masjidul Swahaba Mahallu Committee, Palakkad For counter in OP. Await audit report. Posted to 09.10.2024</p>
88.	<p>OP No. 128/2024 Thottathumpady Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Respondents appeared in the petition. Heard. Marriage certificate produced. For orders. Posted to 09.10.2024</p>
89.	<p>OP No. 134/2024 Masjidul Ijaba Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Adv. E. S. M. Kabeer filed vakkalath for respondents No. 2. R1 no representation. For counter. Posted to 09.10.2024</p>
90.	<p>OP No. 136/2024 Masjidul Ijaba Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Adv. E. S. M. Kabeer filed vakkalath for R1 to R3. R2 not represented. For counter in IA No. 199/2024 filed. Posted to 09.10.2024</p>
91.	<p>OP No. 138/2024 Masjidul Hidaya Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Adv. E. S. M. Kabeer filed vakkalath for R1 and R3. R2 no representation. Set exparte. Petitioner produced High court order with direction to dispose of IA application as early as possible. Counter in OP not filed. Issue intimation to the Returning officer to comply the High court order and not to proceed with election. Call on 09.10.2024</p>
92.	<p>OP No. 66/2024 Puthoor Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam</p>

	Counter filed in IA No. 156/2024 and IA No. 195/2024 and counter in OP. Heard. For orders in IA No. 156/2024 and 195/2024. Posted to 11.09.2024.
93.	EP No. (A9) 4645/CR Putharippadam Mayyathankara Jama-ath, Palakkad Argument notes filed in both sides. For orders. Posted to 11.09.2024
94.	EP (A 3) 3121/CR Kodikuthumala Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam argument notes filed. For orders. Posted to 11.09.2024
95.	AA No. 122/2024 Ismail Sait Thaikkavu, Ernakulam For orders. Posted to 09.10.2024
96.	OP No. 96/2022 Vadanappally North Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath, Thrissur IA No. 107/2024. Heard. For orders and steps in OP. Posted to 09.10.2024
97.	OP No. 60/2017 Haji Usman Haji Allarakhiya and Ayyoob Haji Abdul Rahiman Trust, Ernakulam Adv. Narayanan V. P. filed vakkalath for respondents. For steps. Posted to 09.10.2024
98.	OP No. 146/2024 Keralapuram Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 09.10.2024 IA No. 242/2024 Divisional Waqf Officer, Thiruvananthapuram is directed to conduct audit of accounts for the period of 2018-2024 and to obtain audit report. He shall obtain objections to the audit report and verify the same. If any recovery under section 48 is to be initiated matter shall be placed through administrative side.
99.	OP No. 148/2024 Masjidul Hidayah Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 09.10.2024 IA No. 249/2024 Heard. The petitioner had made out a prima facie case. The decision dated 02.06.2024 taken by the 2 nd respondent against the petitioner de-barring him from the general body dated and waqf membership is hereby stayed. IA No. 251/2024 Heard. The petitioner had made out a prima facie case. Respondents are directed to produce original minute's book of the Jama-ath for the period of 2024.
100.	OP No. 150/2024 Karattuppalli Muslim Jama-ath Committee, Ernakulam Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 09.10.2024
101.	OP No. 152/2024 Kuzhikkattumoola Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath,

	Ernakulam Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 09.10.2024
102.	IA No. 216/2024 in OP No. 38/2024 Karukaputhur Mahallu Jama-ath Committee, Ernakulam Advanced. Posted to 11.09.2024
103.	IA No. 218/2024 in OP No. 88/2024 Mundakkayam Varikkani Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Adv. E. S. M. Kabeer appeared. Counter filed. For hearing. Posted to 09.10.2024
104.	IA No. 222/2024 Kuttulanji Methala Jama-ath Pally, Ernakulam Petitioner is not a party in this proceedings. Hence dismissed.
105.	IA No. 223/2024 in OP No. 2/2024 Balaramapuram Town Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram Issue notice to the respondents. Petitioner shall produce batta. Posted to 09.10.2024
106.	IA No. 225/2024 in OP No. 224/2023 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Issue notice to Respondents. Posted to 09.10.2024
107.	IA No. 226/2024 in OP No. 124/2023 Neeravil Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram For counter. Posted to 05.09.2024
108.	IA No. 235/2024 in OP No. 140/2024 Thattamala Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam OP is already closed. Put up before Board through administrative side.
109.	IA No. 236/2024 in OP No. 218/2023 Muhiyudheen Juma Masjid Jama-ath Committee, Madiyoor, Ernakulam Original posting date not mentioned. No clarity. Hence dismissed.
110.	IA No. 237/2024 in OP No. 154/2024 Cheruthuruthy Juma Masjid and Madrassa Committee, Thrissur Advanced. Posted to 11.09.2024
111.	IA No. 238/2024 in OP No. 246/2024 Kadooppadam Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Issue notice. Pay batta. Posted to 09.10.2024 IA No. 239/2024 Issue notice. Pay batta. Posted to 09.10.2024
112.	IA No. 240/2024 in OP No. 116/2023 Masjidunnoor Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Issue notice to the parties. Pay batta. Posting date is allotted on 09.10.2024 IA No. 241/2024

Issue notice. Pay batta. Posted to 09.10.2024
