

A DIARY
Dated: 18.12.2025

1.	EP No. (A3) 3338 & 3339/CR Haji Essa Haji Moosa Sait and Jan Muhammed Haji Eassa Sait Trust, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
2.	OP No. 40/2014 Mulavoor Central Mahallu Jama-ath, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
3.	OP No. 192/2023 Ifathul Islam Sangham (Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
4.	OP No. 200/2024 Vadakkekkad Muslim Jama-ath Committee, Thrissur Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
5.	EP No. A2-169/24/ Audit Nellikkunnath Muhiyudeen Pally, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
6.	OP No. 234/2023 Manjakkulam Pally Makham Madrassa Committee, Palakkad Order passed in IA No. 277/2024. Posted to 24.02.2026 This Interim Application has been filed seeking impleadment of the applicants as additional respondents in O.P. No. 234/2023, which is pending before the Board relating to the administration and management of Manjakulam Palli. The applicants claim to be beneficiaries and members of the Jama-ath and allege irregularities in the administration, including non-conduct of elections and misappropriation of funds. The respondents have filed a counter statement disputing the maintainability of the application and contending that the applicants are not competent to seek impleadment. On a perusal of the records, it is seen that the present application has been filed on behalf of multiple applicants, however only one applicant has sworn to an affidavit , purportedly on behalf of all the other applicants. No independent affidavits or pleadings have been filed by the remaining

	<p>applicants setting out their individual rights, locus standi, or necessity for impleadment. It is a settled principle of law that third parties seeking impleadment must specifically and independently plead their claim, interest, and grounds for impleadment. Filing of a single affidavit on behalf of several applicants is not supported either by the provisions of law or by settled judicial practice.</p> <p>In the absence of separate affidavits or applications by each of the applicants, the present impleading application suffers from a fundamental procedural defect and is therefore not maintainable.</p> <p>In the result, the Interim Application seeking impleadment is dismissed for non-compliance with the mandatory procedural requirements. This dismissal shall not preclude the applicants, if so advised, from filing fresh applications in accordance with law.</p>
7.	IA No. 42/2025 in OP No. 180/2024 Cheraman Juma Masjid, Thrissur Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
8.	OP No. 174/2022 Muhiyudheen Juma Masjid, Kottayam Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
9.	EP No. A5-6972/2024 Alangad Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
10.	OP No. 134/2024 Masjidul Ejaba Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
11.	OP No. 148/2024 Masjidul Hidayah Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
12.	OP No. 148/2025 Punnappadam Kakkod Puthen Pally, Palakkad Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
13.	OP No. 60/2017 Haji Usman Haji Allarakhiya and Ayyoob Haji Abdul Rahiman Trust, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
14.	OP No. 96/2022 Vadanappally North Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath, Thrissur Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
15.	OP No. 98/2022 Paimattom Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam 1. The above Original Petition has been filed by the petitioners, claiming

to be beneficiaries and members of the Paimattom Muslim Jama-ath, under Sections 32, 63 and 64(i)(j) of the Waqf Act, 1995. The reliefs sought include directions to the respondents to conduct religious activities, particularly Friday Juma prayers, strictly in accordance with the bye-law and traditional practices of the Jama-ath, appointment of a Returning Officer to conduct election through secret ballot, and other consequential reliefs.

2. Upon admission of the petition, this Board passed an interim order dated 07.06.2022 in I.A. No.108/2022 directing the respondents not to effect any change in the religious rituals, especially with regard to Friday Juma Namaz, and to continue the same in accordance with the bye-law and established practice of the Jama-ath.
3. The respondents entered appearance through learned counsel and filed a counter affidavit denying the allegations. It was specifically contended that no change had been made in the religious rituals and that the Jama-ath has been following the same practices for several years.
4. Subsequently, the petitioners filed I.A. No.17/2023 seeking appointment of an Advocate Commissioner to inspect the conduct of Friday Juma prayer. The said application was allowed, and Adv. Muhammed Musthaq T.M. was appointed as Advocate Commissioner. The Commissioner conducted inspection on 06.01.2023 and submitted his report dated 25.07.2023. It is relevant to note that no objections were filed by either party against the said report.
5. Thereafter, the petitioners filed I.A. No.199/2023 alleging violation of the interim order dated 07.06.2022. On examination of the Advocate Commissioner's report, this Board found no material to indicate any violation of the interim order. The report revealed that the Friday Juma procedures were being conducted in accordance with accepted Sunni practices. Accordingly, I.A. No.199/2023 was dismissed and the Original Petition was posted for further steps.
6. After dismissal of the said interlocutory application, neither the petitioners nor the respondents filed proof affidavits or adduced any oral or documentary evidence in support of their respective pleadings. The matter was thereafter posted for final orders on 12.08.2025.
7. On a careful perusal of the entire records, this Board finds that the petitioners have failed to substantiate their allegations with any acceptable evidence. Apart from the pleadings, no material has been produced to establish that the respondents violated the bye-law provisions or departed from the established religious practices of the Jama-ath. On the contrary, the Advocate Commissioner's report, which has attained finality, supports the stand of the respondents.
8. As regards the prayer for appointment of a Returning Officer and

	<p>conduct of election, the records of the Board reveal that the election to the Jama-ath Managing Committee has already been conducted. The Junior Superintendent of this Board, who was present in connection with the election process, has reported that the election has been completed and the process has attained finality. In view of the said development, the prayer relating to conduct of election has become infructuous.</p> <p>9. In the absence of any evidence warranting interference by this Board and in view of the fact that the election to the Jama-ath Committee has already been completed, this Board finds no merit in the Original Petition.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the result, the Original Petition is dismissed.</p>
16.	OP No. 136/2024 Masjidul Ejaba Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
17.	OP No. 138/2024 Masjidul Ejaba Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
18.	OP No. 60/2023 Pallikkara Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
19.	OP No. 120/2025 P. M. S. A. Pookkoya Thangal Memorial Yatheemkhana, Palakkad Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
20.	<p>OP No. 46/2025 Kaniyapuram Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram</p> <p>The present Original Petition along with the connected Interlocutory Applications has come up for consideration before this Board in relation to the administration, management and election processes of the Kaniyapuram Muslim Jamaath, which is an admitted and duly registered <i>Waqf</i> under the Kerala State Waqf Board The Original Petition has been filed seeking directions for audit of the income and expenditure accounts of the Waqf for the period from 2023 to 2025, for conducting election to the Managing Committee of the Waqf under the supervision of this Board, and for appointment of an interim Muthavalli pending disposal of the Original Petition. During the pendency of the Original Petition, several Interlocutory Applications were filed by both parties raising issues concerning interim management, maintainability of proceedings, alleged violation of interim orders, appointment of a Returning Officer and implementation of earlier directions.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>I.A. No. 57/2025</u></p>

I.A. No. 57/2025 was filed by the Petitioners alleging violation of the interim order passed in this case dated 05.02.2025, asserting that the Respondents continued to administer the Waqf and failed to hand over keys and records relating to its affairs. The Respondents filed a counter affidavit disputing the maintainability of the application and denying the allegations, raising factual controversies regarding possession and compliance. In view of the disputed questions of fact involved, this Board is of the opinion that the issue of alleged violation cannot be adjudicated at this stage without recording evidence. Accordingly, I.A. No. 57/2025 is disposed of with a direction that the allegations of non-compliance shall be considered, if pressed, only after recording evidence from both sides, including examination of witnesses and production of documents.

I.A. No. 121/2025

The objection raised in I.A. No. 121/2025 is that the Original Petition and the connected Interlocutory Applications are not maintainable on the ground that the Kaniyapuram Muslim Jamaath committee is a society registered under the Societies Registration Act and that, therefore, this Board lacks jurisdiction to interfere in its affairs.

The said contention is untenable. It is an admitted fact that the Kaniyapuram Muslim Jamaath is a **duly registered Waqf** and that the properties in question are **Waqf properties**. Once a dedication is made and the institution is registered as a Waqf, its administration is governed exclusively by the **Waqf Act, 1995**(Now UMEED Act,1995), irrespective of any parallel registration under other enactments.

Under the Waqf Act, a Waqf can be managed only through a **Mutawalli**, and the management of a Waqf and its properties is **subject to the superintendence, supervision and control of the Waqf Board** under Section 32 of the Act. The definition of “Mutawalli” under the Act is functional and includes **any person or committee, by whatever name called, managing or administering a Waqf or its properties**.

A society may lawfully own and manage properties acquired and maintained using its **own funds** and not funds of waqf, and such properties would fall outside the jurisdiction of the Waqf Board. However, **a society has no independent right to manage Waqf properties or to utilise Waqf funds**. Where a society manages a Waqf or its properties, it does so **only in the capacity of a Mutawalli**, and such management is fully amenable to the statutory control of the Waqf Board.

Registration under the Societies Registration Act does not, and cannot, dilute

or exclude the jurisdiction of the Waqf Board over Waqf properties. Jurisdiction under the Waqf Act flows from the **character of the property as Waqf**, and not from the legal form or registration status of the managing body. Acceptance of the Respondents' contention would defeat the statutory scheme of the UMEED Act and render the supervisory powers of the Board nugatory.

If the Respondents were to assert that the Jamaath functions purely as a society and not as a Mutawalli, the inevitable consequence would be that the society would have **no authority to continue managing the Waqf properties**, thereby reinforcing, rather than negating, the jurisdiction of this Board.

In view of the above, this Board holds that the objection as to maintainability is devoid of merit. Accordingly, **I.A. No. 121/2025 is dismissed**, and the Original Petition and all connected Interlocutory Applications are held to be **maintainable before this Board**.

I.A. No. 58/2025

I.A. No. 58/2025 was filed by the Petitioners seeking appointment of a Returning Officer for conducting election to the Jamaat Committee under the supervision of this Board. No counter affidavit has been filed by the Respondents opposing the said relief. Considering the ongoing disputes regarding the legitimacy of the managing committee, the alleged expiry of terms and the necessity to restore orderly administration through a neutral and transparent process, this Board finds that appointment of a Returning Officer is warranted. Accordingly, Adv. Sajal.P.E, is appointed as the Returning Officer to conduct the election to the Jamaath Committee. The Petitioners shall pay an initial *batta* of Rs. 25,000/- to the Returning Officer within two weeks from the date of receipt of this order, which shall be adjusted against his final fees. The existing Jamaath Committee shall fully co-operate with the Returning Officer by providing access to records, voter lists and premises. The election shall be conducted strictly in accordance with the bye-laws of the Jamaath, following a secret ballot system. The Returning Officer may fix a nomination fee not exceeding Rs. 2,000/- per candidate to meet incidental expenses. All expenses connected with the election, including the balance fee of the Returning Officer, shall be met from the funds of the Jamaat. The Returning Officer shall complete the entire election process within three months from the date of receipt of this order and shall submit a detailed compliance report along with election records to this Board immediately thereafter.

	The Original Petition is posted to 11.02.2026 for report of the Returning Officer.
21.	OP No. 48/2018 Pavaratty Town Juma Masjid, Thrissur Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
22.	OP No. 198/2024 Vadakkekkad Muslim Jama-ath, Thrissur Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
23.	OP No. 108/2025 Akalad Puthen Pally Mahallu Jama-ath Committee, Thrissur Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026
24.	<p>AA No. 268/2025 Kanjirakkode Kumaranalloor Juma Masjid, Thrissur</p> <p>Present appeal is filed by the Secretary, Kanjirakkode Jama-ath Pally, Thrissur under section 72(7) of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to set aside the assessment of the order passed by the assessing authority for the assessment year 2019-2020 to 2021 to 2022 pass a fresh order in this regard. The appellant had remitted the 1/3rd of the disputed of amount for the year 2019-2020 to 2021 to 2022 as provide under the Kerala Waqf Rules.</p> <p>The averment in the appeal memorandum is that the failure of submission of accounts of the waqf is due to Covid -19 pandemic situation and prayed for pardon for the non submission of accounts before Board. Hence, they approached this appellate authority seeking to set aside the order of assessment passed by the Assessing Authority and to exempt from remitting the amount so assessed.</p> <p>On verification of the office records, it is seen that Best Judgment Assessment was made as the committee administering the waqf failed to submit the accounts before the Board as contemplated under the Waqf Act and the waqf failed to remit the contribution amount due. Even though several notices directing to submit accounts were issued to the Jama-ath, it failed to submit accounts in time. In the above circumstances, the assessing authority has assessed the waqf on best judgment basis for the disputed period.</p>

	<p>On going through the above facts and circumstances, the Board is of the opinion that one more opportunity can be given to the appellant to prove the contentions raised in the appeal. The assessing authority is directed to re-assess the accounts of the waqf for the appeal period in accordance with the Act, Rules and Regulations, after considering the returns submitted along with the appeal memorandum. If the assessing authority is not satisfied with the accounts submitted along with this appeal, the authority is free to call for the supporting books and documents and conduct audit of accounts if found necessary.</p> <p>The present appeal is disposed of with the above direction.</p>
25.	<p>OP No. 112/2025 Pallikkara Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026</p>
26.	<p>AA No. 40/2025 Muhiyudheen Juma Masjid (Masjidul Muhammadiyah Sangham), Alappuzha</p> <p>Present appeal is filed by the Secretary, Muhiyudheen Juma Masjid (Masjidul Muhammadiyah Sangham), Alappuzha under section 72(7) of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to set aside the assessment of the order passed by the assessing authority for the assessment year 2020-2021 to 2022 to 2023 pass a fresh order in this regard. The appellant had remitted the 1/3rd of the disputed amount for the year 2020-2021 to 2022 to 2023 as provide under the Kerala Waqf Rules.</p> <p>The averment in the appeal memorandum is that the appellant waqf is not having any income to remit the contribution fixed by the Board and sought pardon for not submitting statements before the Board in time.. Hence, they approached this appellate authority seeking to set aside the order of assessment passed by the Assessing Authority and to exempt from remitting the amount so assessed.</p> <p>On verification of the office records, it is seen that Best Judgment Assessment was made as the committee administering the waqf failed to submit the accounts before the Board as contemplated under the Waqf Act and the waqf failed to remit the</p>

	<p>contribution amount due. Even though several notices directing to submit accounts were issued to the Jama-ath, it failed to submit accounts in time. In the above circumstances, the assessing authority has assessed the waqf on best judgment basis for the disputed period.</p> <p>On going through the above facts and circumstances, the Board is of the opinion that one more opportunity can be given to the appellant to prove the contentions raised in the appeal. The assessing authority is directed to re-assess the accounts of the waqf for the appeal period in accordance with the Act, Rules and Regulations, after considering the returns submitted along with the appeal memorandum. If the assessing authority is not satisfied with the accounts submitted along with this appeal, the authority is free to call for the supporting books and documents and conduct audit of accounts if found necessary.</p> <p>The present appeal is disposed of with the above direction.</p>
27.	<p>AA No. 212/2025 Noor Masjid, Kottayam</p> <p>Present appeal is filed by the Secretary of Noor Masjid, Kanjirappally, Kottayam under section 72(7) of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to set aside the assessment of the order passed by the assessing authority for the assessment year 2019-2020 to 2021-2022 pass a fresh order in this regard. The appellant had remitted the 1/3rd of the disputed of amount for the year 2019-2020 to 2021-2022 as provide under the Kerala Waqf Rules.</p> <p>The averment in the appeal memorandum is that the failure of submission of accounts of the waqf is not willfull, that the waqf is not having sufficient funds for remitting the contribution and prayed for pardon for the non submission of accounts before Board. Hence, they approached this appellate authority seeking to set aside the order of assessment passed by the Assessing Authority and to exempt from remitting the amount so assessed.</p> <p>On verification of the office records, it is seen that Best Judgment Assessment was made as the committee administering the waqf failed to submit the accounts before the Board as contemplated under the Waqf Act and the waqf failed to remit the</p>

	<p>contribution amount due. Even though several notices directing to submit accounts were issued to the Jama-ath, it failed to submit accounts in time. In the above circumstances, the assessing authority has assessed the waqf on best judgment basis for the disputed period.</p> <p>On going through the above facts and circumstances, the Board is of the opinion that one more opportunity can be given to the appellant to prove the contentions raised in the appeal. The assessing authority is directed to re-assess the accounts of the waqf for the appeal period in accordance with the Act, Rules and Regulations, after considering the returns submitted along with the appeal memorandum. If the assessing authority is not satisfied with the accounts submitted along with this appeal, the authority is free to call for the supporting books and documents and conduct audit of accounts if found necessary.</p> <p>The present appeal is disposed of with the above direction.</p>
28.	<p>OP No. 56/2025 Kanjippadam Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026</p>
29.	<p>OP No. 92/2021 Edava Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram Order passed vide separate sheet in order sheet. For Returning officers report. Posted to 11.02.2026</p> <p>For the past more than one year, the Divisional Waqf Officer, Thiruvananthapuram, has been functioning as the Administrator of Edava Muslim Jama-ath pursuant to the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala. The Board has also entrusted him with the additional responsibility of Returning Officer for conducting the election to the Jama-ath Committee. An Executive Officer has already been appointed in the Jama-ath for assisting in the administration.</p> <p>When the matter came up for consideration before the Board on 04.12.2025, the Board perused the report submitted by the Divisional Waqf Officer (Administrator) along with the report and submissions of the Executive Officer. The Divisional Waqf Officer reported that, based on the decision taken in the meeting of the Board held on 12.11.2025, directions were issued to the Executive Officer to complete the membership register of Edava Muslim Jamaath on or before 04.12.2025.</p> <p>However, the Executive Officer reported that there was no existing</p>

membership register in the Jama-ath and that, therefore, a **census-based procedure** for preparation of the membership register was being undertaken. It was further reported that there are approximately **2,850 families** under the Jama-ath, out of which the census in respect of about **1,500 families** has already been completed and the remaining work is in the final stage. The Executive Officer also reported that, after completion of the Panchayath election process, the **voters list would be completed before the expiry of December 2025.**

On perusal of the report of the Executive Officer, which is annexed to the report of the Administrator, the Board takes note of the fact that the Returning Officer was appointed as early as **2024**, and since then the administration of the Jamaath has been carried out through the Divisional Waqf Officer, who is also the head of the Thiruvananthapuram Division, pursuant to the orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala. The Board further notes that the Divisional Waqf Officer is burdened with administrative responsibilities across **three districts**, and prolonged continuation of the Jamaath under administrative control is not desirable.

In the above circumstances, the Board is of the considered view that the **election to the Jamaat Committee must be completed within a definite and reasonable time frame**, and the administration of the Jamaath should be handed over to a duly elected committee at the earliest.

Accordingly, in exercise of the powers of the Board, the following **directions are issued for urgent compliance:**

1. The **Administrator (Divisional Waqf Officer, Thiruvananthapuram)** and the **Executive Officer, Edava Muslim Jamaath**, are directed to **complete the preparation of the membership register and voters list within one (1) month**, after completing all statutory and procedural formalities.
2. Upon completion of the voters list, the same shall be **published within a week**, inviting objections from eligible persons.
3. A period of **fifteen (15) days** shall be granted for filing objections, if any, to the published voters list.
4. After disposal of objections and finalisation of the voters list, a **detailed compliance report** shall be submitted before the Board forthwith. After that the Administrator as well as the Executive Officer shall take all necessary steps to conduct the election to the Jamaath Committee without delay.

The Administrator and the Executive Officer shall ensure **strict adherence**

	<p>to the above time schedule, and any deviation shall be reported to the Board with reasons.</p>
30.	<p>OP No. 108/2023 Vakkam Padinjare Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This Interlocutory Application has been filed by the petitioner in the main Original Petition. The main Original Petition was instituted seeking conduct of election to the Jama-ath Committee and for other consequential reliefs.2. In the counter affidavit filed in the main Original Petition, the respondents had admitted that the term of the Jama-ath Committee had already expired. It is also on record that the petitioner had approached this Board seeking appointment of an Advocate Commissioner/Returning Officer for conducting election to the Jama-ath Committee by filing I.A. No. 217 of 2023. In the said proceedings, this Board, as per order dated 05-03-2024, appointed Advocate G. Nisar as Returning Officer to conduct the election to the Jama-ath Committee within a period of three months.3. The petitioner has now filed the present I.A. contending that though the Returning Officer visited the Jama-ath office on 16-04-2024 at about 4.15 p.m. for taking charge, neither the respondents nor their representatives were present, the Jama-ath office was not opened, and consequently the Returning Officer had to leave without taking charge or initiating further steps. It is further alleged that thereafter, till date, the Returning Officer has not taken charge nor taken any steps for conducting the election, despite repeated requests made by the petitioner. Hence, the petitioner had approached this Board by filing I.A. No. 182 of 2025 seeking removal of Advocate G. Nisar from the post of Returning Officer and for appointment of a new Returning Officer to conduct the election to the Jama-ath Committee in a transparent manner.4. The respondents have filed a counter affidavit opposing the said I.A., contending that they had already filed a petition before this Board challenging the legality of the appointment of the Returning Officer on the ground that he was not selected from any official panel of advocates maintained by the Board. It is further contended that the legality and correctness of the order appointing the Returning Officer is pending consideration before the Waqf Tribunal in O.A. No. 49 of 2024 and, therefore, it is neither just nor proper to appoint another Returning Officer by removing the existing one.5. During the pendency of the above I.A., the respondents have filed

another application as I.A.No.477/2025 stating that one of the petitioners, namely Mohammed Shafi, expired on 16-11-2025 and sought closure of all interlocutory applications filed by the said petitioner by recording his death.

6. This Board had perused the records and considered the rival submissions. It is evident that at the time of swearing the affidavit and at the time of filing the present I.A., Mohammed Shafi was alive. Further, the subject matter of the proceedings relates to conduct of election to the Jama-ath Committee, which is a public religious body, and admittedly the term of the Committee has already expired. The appointment of the Returning Officer was made at a point of time when the said petitioner was alive. Therefore, the death of one of the petitioners does not render the proceedings infructuous nor does it warrant closure of the interlocutory applications.
7. It is also pertinent to note that even in the absence of a specific application, this Board is empowered to change or replace a Returning Officer, if it is found that the election is not being conducted in a proper and timely manner. In the present case, Advocate G. Nisar was appointed as Returning Officer on 05-03-2024 and for the past about 21 months, no election has been conducted. He has also not submitted any report before this Board explaining the reasons for the delay. Continuance of such a Returning Officer would adversely affect the conduct of election and, in turn, the interests of the Jama-ath and its beneficiaries.
8. The contention raised by the respondents that Advocate G. Nisar was not included in any approved panel of Returning Officers is found to be unsustainable, as there is no approved or notified panel of Returning Officers maintained by this Board. The said contention appears to have been raised without proper understanding of the factual and legal position.
9. In view of the above facts and circumstances, this Board is satisfied that it is necessary to remove Advocate G. Nisar from the post of Returning Officer and appoint a new Returning Officer to ensure conduct of election in a fair, transparent, and time-bound manner.

Hence, the following order is passed:

i. Advocate G. Nisar is hereby removed from the post of Returning Officer for conducting election to the Jama-ath Committee.

ii. Advocate Mohammad Shafi is hereby appointed as the Returning Officer to conduct the election to the Jama-ath Committee, in place of

	<p>Advocate G. Nisar.</p> <p>iii. The petitioner shall pay a sum of ₹ 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) as initial remuneration to Advocate Mohammad Shafi.</p> <p>iv. The Returning Officer shall conduct the election strictly in accordance with the provisions of the Jama-ath By-laws, through a secret ballot system.</p> <p>v. The Returning Officer is permitted to fix a nomination fee, which shall not exceed ₹ 2,000/-, and the amount so collected shall be utilised towards election expenses.</p> <p>vi. All expenditure for conducting the election, including the professional fee and remuneration of the Returning Officer, shall be met from the Jama-ath funds.</p> <p>vii. The Jama-ath Committee and its office-bearers shall extend full cooperation to the Returning Officer in all respects. Failure to do so will constrain this Board to initiate appropriate proceedings, including prosecution and removal of the existing committee and appointment of a suitable administrator.</p> <p>viii. The Returning Officer shall complete the entire election process within a period of three months from the date of receipt of this order.</p>
31.	<p>OP No. 02/1988 Indianoor Juma Masjid Paripalana Committee, Malappuram</p> <p>This Original Petition was filed seeking framing of a scheme for the administration of the Indianoor Jumaath Palli Waqf. After hearing all the parties and on appreciation of evidence, the Board, by order dated 29.04.1998, resolved to frame a scheme. The said order was challenged before the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in O.P. No. 1087/1998, which came to be dismissed on 24.09.2004, thereby rendering the Board's order dated 29.04.1998 final.</p> <p>Pursuant thereto, the Board invited draft schemes from the parties. After hearing all parties and perusing the written arguments, the Board, by order dated 05.03.2013, directed the Chief Executive Officer to publish the draft scheme submitted by the petitioners, call for objections, consider the same, and thereafter finalize the scheme in accordance with law.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the said order, the 1st respondent preferred O.A. No. 06/2013 before the Hon'ble Waqf Tribunal, which was dismissed. The 1st respondent</p>

thereafter approached the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala in CRP (Waqf) No. 242/2015. By order dated 01.11.2020, the Hon'ble High Court disposed of the matter, specifically observing that the dismissal of the revision would not prejudice the right of the petitioner and others to raise objections to the draft scheme before the Board and that the Board shall pass final orders only after hearing such objections.

Subsequently, the 1st respondent filed I.A. No. 46/2022 contending that the draft scheme proposed by the petitioners was inadequate and produced the registered bye-laws of the Indianoor Juma Masjid Paripalana Committee. The parties placed their respective suggestions and objections before the Board, and the matter remained pending for consideration.

While the proceedings were thus pending, the two organizations involved in the matter, Indianoor Juma Masjid Paripalana Committee & Mueenul Islam Sangham, through their respective authorized representatives and learned counsels, submitted a **Joint Statement of Compromise** before the Board. As per the said statement, both parties have mutually agreed that they shall not interfere in the affairs and administration of each other, and that the administration of the respective institutions shall be carried out independently by their respective committees, in accordance with law.

The Board has perused the Joint Statement of Compromise and heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties. The compromise appears to be voluntary, bona fide, and arrived at with the intent to bring quietus to a long-standing dispute that has been pending for several decades. The terms of settlement do not contravene any provision of the Waqf Act or the principles governing waqf administration, and are aimed at ensuring peaceful, efficient, and non-confrontational management of the institutions concerned.

The Board is of the considered view that acceptance of the compromise would subserve the larger interests of the waqf, promote harmony among stakeholders, and avoid further avoidable litigation, thereby enabling the committees to focus on religious and charitable objectives for which the waqf was created.

The Board also places on record its appreciation of the parties and their learned counsels for adopting a constructive approach and resolving the dispute amicably through mutual understanding. Such settlements contribute significantly to institutional stability, community harmony, and effective waqf governance.

In the result:

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Joint Statement of Compromise submitted by the parties is taken on record and accepted, and shall form part of this order. 2. The parties shall be bound by the terms of the compromise, and shall strictly adhere to their undertaking of non-interference in the administration of each other's institutions. 3. The administration of the respective organizations shall be carried out by their respective committees, in accordance with the agreement made in the joint statement. 4. In view of the above settlement, further proceedings in O.P. No. 2/1988, including consideration of the draft scheme and objections thereto, are closed as settled, subject to the terms of the compromise.
32.	<p>EP No. 4841/2024 Valiyullahi Varavoor Muhammed Kutty Masthan Uppapa (Khasi) Makham, Thrissur</p> <p>The issue for consideration is whether the subject property can be treated as a <i>waqf</i> and registered as such under the provisions of the UMEED Act and the Waqf Act, 1995. On a careful examination of the records, documents produced, and submissions made by the parties, it is evident that the essential requirements for creation of a waqf as per the existing UMEED ACT, 1995 are not satisfied in the present case.</p> <p>As reflected in Section 3(r) of the UMEED Act, 1995, a valid waqf presupposes a clear and unequivocal dedication of property by a competent person for a purpose recognized as religious, pious, or charitable in Islam, coupled with complete divestment of ownership by the waqif. Such dedication must be permanent, irrevocable, and demonstrably intended to vest the property in Almighty God and there must be a clear title deed in favour of waqf.</p> <p>In the present case, no deed of waqf, document of dedication, or reliable document evidencing permanent and irrevocable dedication of the property as waqf has been produced. The records available only disclose possession, management, or usage of the property by certain individuals/committees, which by itself does not amount to creation of a waqf.</p> <p>Mere long-standing user of a property for religious purposes, management by a Jama-ath or committee, or performance of religious activities therein, in the absence of proof of dedication, cannot confer the legal character of waqf on the property. Dedication cannot be presumed lightly, and the burden lies on the person asserting the existence of a waqf to establish the same through</p>

	<p>cogent evidence.</p> <p>Section 36 of the UMEED Act and the Rules framed thereunder contemplate registration and uploading of details only in respect of waqf by user properties which are already established registered waqf properties in accordance with law. The registration mechanism under the UMEED Act is procedural in nature and does not create, declare, or validate a waqf where none exists in law.</p> <p>In the absence of proof of valid creation of a waqf, the subject property cannot be treated as waqf property and consequently cannot be registered or uploaded as waqf under the UMEED Act, 1995. Further, an enquiry under Section 40 of the Waqf Act, 1995 empowers the Board to determine whether a property is waqf or not, and such determination must necessarily be based on legally acceptable evidence of dedication, which is conspicuously absent in the present case.</p> <p>The Board, therefore, finds that the essential legal ingredients for recognition of the subject property as waqf are lacking and that the claim for registration under the UMEED Act is unsustainable. In the result, EP is closed finding that the said property cannot be registered or uploaded as waqf under the UMEED Act or the Rules framed thereunder.</p>
33.	<p>OP No. 96/2025 Kilikolloor Siyarathummood Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam</p> <p>Order not ready. Posted to 24.02.2026</p>
34.	<p>OP No. 146/2017 Vanmeni Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam</p> <p>Payment of audit fee and report. Posted to 24.02.2026</p>
35.	<p>OP No. 3258/2024 Masjidu Swahaba, Thrissur</p> <p>No counter in IA No. 380/2025 allowed. No counter in IA No. 381/2025 allowed. Evidence reopened. Joint trial allowed OP. For filing chief affidavit. Posted to 24.02.2026</p>
36.	<p>OP No. 46/2021 Kochangadi Jama-ath Pally @ Chembitta Pally, Ernakulam</p> <p>RO filed report. The committee is directed to furnish voters list to RO. For report of RO. 24/02/2026</p>
37.	<p>OP No. 106/2017 Amaravathy Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam</p> <p>With connected OP 34/2023 posted to 24/02/2026</p>
38.	<p>OP No. 66/2020 Ilfathul Islam Sangham (Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam</p> <p>No counter in I.A.No.384/2025. No counter in I.A.No,385/2025.</p>

	Allowed. 24/02/2026
39.	OP No. 16/2020 Kuriyathole Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For Commission report, issue notice to the commissioner 24/02/2026
40.	OP No. 114/2021 Marayamangalam Central Jama-ath Pally Committee, Palakkad No Chief Affidavit by the respondent, no representation evidence closed. For hearing 24/02/2026.
41.	OP No. 172/2022 Muhiyudheen Juma Masjid, Kottayam CR filed, PW1 examined. Exhibits P1 to P12 marked subject to objection. No further evidence. Petitioners evidence closed. For respondent's evidence 24/02/2026
42.	EP No. A8-3101/2022 Kayaradi Mahallu Jama-ath, Palakkad For audit report 24/02/2026.
43.	OP No. 188/2022 Kakkattiri Juma masjid, Palakkad No audit fee paid. Issue notice to the respondents to deposit the audit fee 24/02/2026
44.	OP No. 34/2022 Kochi Thaikkavu Pally, Ernakulam Argument notes filed by the petitioner. Argument notes by the respondent also filed. For orders 24/02/2026
45.	OP No. 136/2022 Marampally Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Audit fee not paid. Issue fresh notice to the newly elected committee. For audit report 24/02/2026.
46.	EP No. A9-1150/2021/KTM, Vachakkal Valiya Veettil Muhiyudheen Makham, Idukky For steps posted to 24/02/2026
47.	EP No. 7539/2022 Vaduthala Kottoor Kattupuram Jama-ath, Alappuzha Produce Tribunal Order 24/02/2026.
48.	OP No. 60/2022 Adipparanda Juma Masjid, Palakkad Notice to Adv.Mohammed Ali served. For steps 24/02/2026
49.	OP No. 184/2022 Abdul Sathar Haji Moosa Sait Dharmastapanam, Ernakulam Intimation to the Mutawalli served and he has replied seeking necessary directions from the Board. Divisional Waqf Officer is directed to give necessary directions to the mutawalli with regard to the auditing of accounts and hand over documents. 24/02/2026

50.	OP No. 112/2023 Ilfathul Islam Sangham(Ponnranni Jama-ath), Ernakulam For counter in I.A.No,354/2025. Posted to 24.02.2026
51.	EP No. A8-2698/2023 Madrassathu Husna, Thrissur Documents submitted by parties 1 to 4. No representation by parties 5 and 6, For steps and hearing 24/02/2026
52.	OP No. 152/2023 Vadakara Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam For objection to audit report. Proof affidavit filed. Adv.Stiya Sivan is appointed as commissioner to record evidence. Pay Rs.2500/- per day, per witness. For CR 24/02/2026
53.	OP No. 224/2023 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Counter filed in OP. For steps 24/02/2026.
54.	OP No. 50/2023 Ponkunnam Muhiyudheen Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Secretary presentt, petitioner absent. No scope for mediation. For steps 24/02/2026
55.	OP No. 158/2023 Ponkunnam Muhiyudheen Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam With connected case OP No.50/2023 24/02/2026
56.	OP No. 228/2023 Masjidu Swahaba Mahallu Committee, Palakkad Observer filed report. Heard for orders 24/02/2026
57.	OP No. 200/2023 Broadway Hanafy Jama-ath Masjid, Ernakulam For Chief Affidavit by the petitioner as last chance 24/02/2026
58.	EP No. A9-18/2023 Manhajul Islam Madrassa, Alappuzha Statement by parties 2 & 5 filed. Adv.ESM Kabeer for parties 1,3 &4. For statement of parties 1,3 &4 24/02/2026.
59.	OP No. 32/2023 Thottumugham Padinjare Pally, Ernakulam I.A.No.481/2026 to extend time. Allowed. For CR 24/02/2026
60.	OP No. 34/2023 Amaravathy Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For report of the RO 24/02/2026
61.	OP No. 192/2024 Thevalakkara Darussalam Juma Masjid, Kollam No Chief Affidavit by the petitioner. No representation.Dismissed
62.	OP No. 40/2024 Theruvath Pally Makham Committee, Thrissur For Commission report. Issue notice to the commissioner 24/02/2026

63.	OP No. 172/2024 Edathakkara Muslim Jama-ath @ Kuriyathole Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Amendment Carried out. Pay batta today itself. R/N 24/02/2026
64.	OP No. 236/2024 Badariyya Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Audit report received. For objection to audit report 24/02/2026
65.	OP No. 230/2024 Darussalam Juma Masjid, Thrissur For steps 24/02/2026
66.	OP No. 220/2024 Irumbakasserry Muslim Jama-ath, Palakkad For arugment notes in I.A.No.407/2025 & 262/2025. For filing Chief Affidavit by the petitioner & Steps 24/02/2026
67.	OP No. 14/2024 Kuzhikkattumoola Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Pending before Tribunal 24/02/2026
68.	OP No. 222/2024 South Punnayoor Jama-ath Pally Committee, Thrissur Await Audit report. For report of RO 24/02/2026
69.	OP No. 112/2024 Chinnakkada Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam Counter filed. For steps 24/02/2026
70.	EP No. A9-8163/2024 Rifayee Juma Masjid, Kollam Matter stayed 24/02/2026
71.	EP No. A9-9135/2024 Oomankulam Namaskara Pally, Thrissur For statement of parties 2,3,4,6 & 7. No representation by 1 & 5 24/02/2026
72.	OP No. 150/2024 Karattuppally Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For counter 24/02/2026
73.	OP No. 170/2024 Kayamkulam Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha For CR 24/02/2026
74.	OP No. 188/2024 Alapanangad Sahib Juma Masjid, Thrissur No steps taken. For filing Chief Affidavit by the petitioner. 24/02/2026
75.	OP No. 206/2024 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam I.A.No.333/2024. Heard for orders & Steps 24/02/2026
76.	OP No. 208/2024 Vellikkulangara Muhiyudheen Town Juma Masjid, Thrissur I.A.No.138/2025, I.A.No.215/2025. I.A.No.179/2025. Issue notice to the parties 14/01/2026
77.	OP No. 92/2024 Kalvathy Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam

	For CR 24/02/2026
78.	OP No. 256/2024 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam For steps 24/02/2026
79.	OP No. 246/2024 Cheraman Juma Masjid, Thrissur Chief Affidavit filed by the petitioner along with documents. Adv.Abitha is appointed as Advocate Commission. Pay batta of Rs.2500/- per day per witness. For CR 24/02/2026
80.	EP No. A3-9980/CR Muhammed Siddique Sait Waqf, Farooq College, Ernakulam Statement 24/02/2026
81.	OP No. 238/2023 Markkassu Dawathi Sunneeyya, Thrissur No representation by the respondent. Name called . Set exparte. Heard for orders. I.A.NO.252/2024 & I.A.No.258/2025 & OP as well 24/02/2026
82.	OP No. 238/2024 Punnappadam Kakkod Puthen Pally, Palakkad For appearance of parties 14/01/2026
83.	OP No. 18/2025 Madavana Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For appearance of parties for mediation. 14/01/2026
84.	OP No. 138/2025 Ifathul Islam Sangham (Ponnuruni Jama-ath), Ernakulam For counter in I.A.No.159/2025, I.A.No.160/2025 & I.A.NO.357/2025. Posted to 24/02/2026
85.	OP No. 230/2025 Ifathul Islam Sangham (Ponnuruni Jama-ath), Ernakulam For counter in OP 24/02/2026
86.	OP No. 218/2025 Farooq Juma Masjid, Thrissur Counter in OP & I.A.No.310/2025 filed along with documents & for steps 24/02/2026
87.	OP No. 220/2025 Hidayathul Islam Sangham Mahal, Palakkad I.A.NO.314/2025 allowed as all parties had conceded. Adv.Sadik is appointed as Returning Officer to conduct election. Petitioner shall pay Rs.25000/- as initial batta. RO is at liberty to fix Rs.2000/- as the nomination fee from the candidates. For report of RO 24/02/2026.
88.	OP No. 126/2025 Shareeyathul Islam Jama-ath Puthantheruvu, Kollam

	I.A.NO.140/2025, I.A.No.141/2025 & I.A.No.143/2025- counter filed. For hearing/Argument notes 14/01/2026.
89.	OP No. 128/2025 Konthalappally Juma Masjid, Idukky For steps 24/02/2026
90.	OP No. 74/2025 (WOS No. 22/2024) Masjidu Rahman Jama-ath Committee, Thrissur For filing Chief Affidavit by the petitioner 24/02/2026
91.	OP No. 84/2025 (WOS No. 34/2025) Jamiyath Ihyaudheen Waqf, Ernakulam For filing Chief Affidavit by the petitioner posted to 24/02/2026
92.	OP No. 68/2025 Kottol Mahal Central Juma Masjid, Thrissur For counter in I.A.NO.68/2025, I.A.No.79/2025, I.A.NO.80/2025 & I.A.No.217/2025 . posted to 24/02/2026.
93.	OP No. 26/2025 Kuzhikkattumoola Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Issue notice I.A.No.50/2025, I.A.No.214/2025- Allowed 24/02/2026
94.	OP No. 158/2025 Erumeli Mahalla Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam For steps 24/02/2026
95.	OP No. 186/2025 (WOS No. 26/2024) Harippad Town Jama-ath Pally, Alappuzha R1, R6 and R7 represented. Petitioner represented. Party 7 statement filed. Copy given. For statement of others. Posted to 24.02.2026
96.	OP No. 188/2025 (WOS No. 26/2024) Darussalam Charitable and Religious Trust, Thrissur For steps 24/02/2026
97.	OP No. 170/2025 Eloor Mahallu Jama-ath, Ernakulam Counter along with documents filed. For steps 24/02/2026
98.	OP No. 172/2025 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam I.A.No.223/2025. Both counsel agreed to appoint as Returning Officer. Adv.Mohammed Puzhakkara appointed as RO to conduct eolection to the Jama-ath. Initial batta of Rs.20000/- shall be paid by the petitioner. RO can collect Rs.2000/- as nomination fee from candidates. For RO report 24/02/2026
99.	OP No 34/2021 Alappuzha Thekke Mahallu Jama-ath, Alappuzha

	I.A.No.485/2025. For counter 14/01/2026
100.	OP No. 252/2024 Karattupally Mahallu Jama-ath, Alappuzha Two judgments produced by the petitioner. Heard. For orders 24/02/2026
101.	OP No. 52/2023 Pazhayalakkidi Hidayathul Islam Mahallu Jama-ath, Palakkad For report of RO 24/02/2026
102.	OP No. 202/2024 Thekkanaryanad Jama-ath Mahallu and Munavvirul Islam Sangham, Alappuzha For Audit report 24/02/2026
103.	OP No. 272/2025 Eriyad Pally @ Eriyad Mahallu Jama-ath, Thrissur Adv,Rauf filed vakalath for all respondents. For counter 24/02/2026
104.	OP No. 274/2025 Theruvath Pally Makham Committee, Palakkad Adv.Sajal for all respondents. For counter 24/02/2026.
105.	OP No. 278/2025 Punnaveli Puthoor Pally Jama-ath, Pathanamthitta I.A.No.486/2025. On the basis of Exhibit P8, the matter is closed.
106.	OP No. 282/2025 Punnayoor Muslim Jama-ath, Thrissur Adv.Rafeek for R1 to R6. Notice to R7 &8 served. No representation. Name called set ex-parte. I.A.NO.487/2025- issue notice & counter 24/02/2026
107.	OP No. 50/2020 Ilfathul Islam Sangham (Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam I.A.No.382/2025 Allowed on payment of cost of Rs.2000/- to R5. I.A.No.383/2025 Allowed. For filing Chief Affidavit 24/02/2026
108.	OP No. 38/2025 Alangad Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam No Steps taken. For filing Chief Affidavit by the petitioner 24/02/2026
109.	OP No. 28/2025 Peringod Jama-ath Committee, Palakkad I.A.No.30/2025- Respondents filed notes of arguments. Counter in OP filed. I.A.No.488/2025 allowed. For argument notes of the petitioner 24/02/2026
110.	OP No. 54/2025 Alangad Muslim Jama-ath Pally Committee, Ernakulam

	For filing Chief Affidavit by the petitioner 14/01/2026
111.	OP No. 14/2025 Koottikkal Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam For mediation 14/01/2026
112.	OP No. 92/2025 Broadway Hanafy Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For counter 14/01/2026
113.	OP No. 94/2025 Broadway Hanafy Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For counter 14/01/2026
114.	OP No. 102/2025 Kuttulanji Methala Muhiyudheen Juma Masjid, Ernakulam For counter 24/02/2026
115.	OP No. 146/2025 Shafi Jama-ath Committee, Palakkad For steps 24/02/2026
116.	OP No. 150/2025 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam For counter 24/02/2026
117.	OP No. 152/2025 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For counter in OP 24/02/2026
118.	IA No. 158/2025 in EP No. 3338/CR and 3339/CR Haji Essa Haji Moosa Sait Trust and Jan Muhammed Haji Essa Sait Trust, Ernakulam I.A.No.158/2025.Notice to Yahiya Ismail Sait Served. Call on 24/02/2026
119.	OP No. 62/2025 Mannar Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha No counter filed in I.A.No.72/2025. With connected OP 24/02/2026
120.	OP No. 192/2025 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For counter 24/02/2026
121.	OP No. 196/2025 Shafi Jama-ath Committee, Palakkad For counter in OP I.A.NO.278/2025 24/02/2026
122.	OP No. 200/2025 Padinjare Shaffi Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha For filing exparte affidavit. Posted to 24.02.2026
123.	IA No. 254/2025 in OP No. 126/2023 Ilfathul Islam Sangham(Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam I.A.No.254/2025 allowed on payment of cost of Rs.3000/- to the petitioner 24/02/2026
124.	IA No. 256/2025 in OP No. 228/2024 Ilfathul Islam

	Sangham(Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam I.A.NO.256/2025 Heard. Allowed on payment of Rs.2000/- to the petitioner. Pay cost 24/02/2026
125.	OP No. 82/2025 Masjidul Mujahideen and Madrassathul Mujahideen Committee, Palakkad For counter 24/02/2026.
126.	IA No. 255/2025 in OP No. 212/2024 Ilfathul Islam Sangham(Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam I.A allowed on payment of Rs.2000/- to the petitioner. Pay cost 24/02/2026
127.	OP No. 80/2025 Kerala Nadvathul Mujahideen, Thrissur No steps taken to implead the legal heirs of R2. For steps again 24/02/2026
128.	OP No. 252/2025 Kaloor Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Adv.ESM for R1 to R3. For counter in OP and I.A. 24/02/2026
129.	OP No. 260/2025 Pallikkara Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Adv.Jabbar filed Vakalath for R1 and R2. For counter in OP and in I.A.NO.368/2025.
130.	OP No. 116/2021 Kaitharam Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Chief Affidavit filed by the respondent. Issue notice to complete evidence. For CR 24/02/2026
131.	OP No. 98/2024 Kaitharam Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam With connected OP 24/02/2026
132.	EP No. A9-8252/2024 Kakkazham Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Adv.ESM Kabeer for parties 1 & 2 . Petitioner present. Adv.Hameed proporsed vakalath for the petitioner. For statement of parties 1 & 2 24/02/2026
133.	EP No. A3-3185/CR Chalakkal Thaikkavu, Ernakulam Adv.Rafeek for party no.4. Adv.Aboobacker for party no.1. Notice to parties 2 & 3 served. Name called. Set ex-parte. For statement of party no.4. Posted to 24.02.2026
134.	OP No. 244/2025 Chilakkoor Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram IA No. 343/2025, 344/2025, 345/2025, 346/2025, 347/202. IA No. 346/2025. Both counsel conceded that the tenure of the committee

	<p>is over. So Adv. Abdul Salim is appointed as Returning Officer to conduct election as per the bye law. Pay initial batta of Rs. 15000/- by the petitioner. Returning Officer can collect Rs. 2000/- each from the contesting candidates as nomination fee. Complete election within 2 months. The existing committee is directed to provide voters list to the Returnign Officer. For report of Returning Officer. Posted to 24.02.2026</p>
135.	<p>OP No. 222/2025 Poovar Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram Counter in IA No. 317/2025 and OP filed. Posted to 24.02.2026</p>
136.	<p>OP No. 38/2023 Karukaputhoor Mahallu Jama-ath Committee, Palakkad IA No. 264/2025 not pressed. Dismissed. IA No. 264/2025 for counter. Posted to 14.01.2026</p>
137.	<p>OP No. 114/2025 Puthuthervu Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam Argument notes filed from both sides. IA No. 117/2025 heard. For orders. Posted to 24.02.2026</p>
138.	<p>EP No. A3-3200/CR Pallikkara Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Adv. Rafeek for parties 1 to 4. Interim Muthawalli appeared in person. Interim Muthawalli repor and produced the document before the Board. For verification by the parties 1 to 4. Posted to 24.02.2026</p>
139.	<p>OP No. 324/2025 Puthoor Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Notice received by Adv. Sajal on behalf of all respondents. He offered vakkalath for all respondents. Posted for vakkalath and counter. Posted to 14.01.2026 IA No. 478/2025 Heard both the counsels. Adv. Sajal who offered vakkalath for the respondents undertakes that no co-ersive action will be taken against the petitioner till the filing of the counter. Posted to</p>

	14.01.2026.
140	<p>OP No. 326/2025 Kochangadi Jama-ath Pally @ Chembitta Pally, Ernakulam</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 14.01.2026</p>
141	<p>OP No. 328/2025 Kulathupuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 11.02.2026</p>
142	<p>OP No. 330/2025 Kumarapuram Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 11.02.2026</p> <p>IA No. 496/2025</p> <p>Heard. The petitioner had made out a prima facie case. Divisional Waqf Officer, Thiruvananthapuram is directed to depute an officer as observer to attend the general body meeting of the Jama-ath to be held on 21.12.2025. The observer shall report as to whether the general body is conducted as per the bye law and also that decisions are taking following the bye law. The petitioners shall pay a batta of Rs. 2000/- to the observer towards TA/DA. For report. Posted to 11.02.2025.</p>
143	<p>OP No. 332/2025 Manakkad Valiya Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 20.01.2026</p>
144	<p>OP No. 334/2025 Pathanapuram Town Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 11.02.2026</p> <p>IA No. 495/2025</p> <p>Heard. The petitioner had made out a prima facie case. Respondents 3 and 4 are directed to produce the ballot boxes containing the polled ballot papers, including invalid and uncounted votes before the CEO of the Board before 14.01.2026. The CEO shall keep the above things in safe custody.</p>

145	IA No. 479/2025 in OP No. 130/2025 Mahalla Mslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 14.01.2026
146	IA No. 492/2025 in OP No. 250/2025 Poomala Mythani Niskara Pally, Ernakulam Posted to 14.01.2026