

A DIARY
Dated: 05.02.2025

1.	<p>IA No. 132/2023 in OP No. 56/2021 Chettiyangadi Hanafi Sunnath Jama-ath, Thrissur</p> <p>The Hon'ble Board passed orders on 23.08.2022, authorizing the Divisional Waqf Officer, Thrissur to conduct an overall enquiry and other related orders.</p> <p>Petitioner had filed the present I.A. seeking to correct the cause title in the initial of the names of the respondents. Heard the petitioner of the I.A. and order is passed allowing the I.A. Office is directed to correct the cause title as prayed in the petition</p>
2.	<p>OP No. 186/2024 Kilikolloor Valiya Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam</p> <p>The main original petition is filed by the petitioners under section 32 of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking following reliefs; (1) to pass an order restraining first respondent from electing jama-ath committee members through election in general meeting of jama-ath members intend to held on 21/09/2024 by the 1st respondent (2) to pass an order directing 2nd respondent to conduct election through the secret ballot system and hand over power to newly elected committee as soon as possible and (3) to pass such other relief.</p> <p>On 19/09/2024 petitioners had filed I.A No. 303 /2024 seeking for temporary injunction restraining 1st respondent from conducting election to the Jama-ath committee in general meeting intend to held on 21/09/2024. On the same day Board heard the matter and held that the petitioner had made out a prima facie case. Since the 1st respondent is the</p>

Receiver appointed by the Board, he has no power to conduct the election and Board has already appointed a Returning Officer to conduct election hence 1st respondent is restrained from conducting the general body scheduled on 21/09/2024. Board also directed respondents to shall appear before the Board in person on 9/10/2024 and issued notice through special messenger. Even after receipt of notice the respondents who are appointed by the Board had failed to appear before the Board to substantiate their case. Eventhough the respondents 1 and 2 are officers appointed by the Board to discharge certain duties they even failed to appear before the Board inspite of serving notice for direct appointment. In such circumstances the Board finds that the said persons are ineligible to continue in their respective posts. Hence they are removed from the posts which are held by them on the basis of the order of the Board. In such circumstances the Board finds that it is proper to appoint a suitable person as Receiver cum Returning Officer for conducting election to the Jama-ath Committee. On the basis of above findings the respondents 1 and 2 are moved from the respective posts in which they were appointed by the Board finding that they have not executed the work entrusted with them properly. Hence, Adv.Nazeer Hussain is appointed as Receiver cum Returning Officer of Kilikolloor Valiya Palli Jama-ath, Kollam for a period of 6 months. He shall conduct election to the Jama-ath Committee within this time span after following all procedural formalities. He will be entitled for a monthly remuneration of Rs.15,000/- and shall carryout the administration of the waqf as per the provisions of Waqf Act and Rules and shall maintain proper accounts and submit periodic report at the Divisional Office, Thiruvananthapuram. He shall be entitled for an initial batta of Rs.25,000/- which shall be paid from the Jama-ath funds. If the Returning Officer who

	<p>was removed by the Board had accepted any amount as initial batta he shall pay back the same within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of this order. The Receiver cum Returning Officer shall collect nomination free not exceeding Rs.2000/- from the candidates at the time of submission of nomination. The Receiver cum Returning Officer shall conduct the election after following all procedural formalities and shall hand over charge to the newly elected committee. The expenses for conducting the election shall be met from the Jama-ath funds. The OP is disposed of as above.</p>
3.	<p>EP No. 3258/2024 Masjidu Swahaba, Thrissur</p> <p>Mr. V K Asharaf, the General Secretary of Masjidu Swahaba, Manathala Village, Chavakkad Taluk of Thrissure District had submitted an application to the Divisional Office, Thrissur on 09.08.2023 to register the Masjidu Swahaba in the Waqf Board. One Mr. Nadathi Kunju Mohammed had filed a complaint against this application and enquiry also conducted. As per the enquiry and its recommendation the Masjidu Swahaba has registered before Board as 11756/RA. It was found out during the course of registration that OS No. 66/2023, with regard to Mutawalliship is pending before Waqf Tribunal, thus the column with regard to the name of mutawalli was kept vacant so as to fill the same on the basis of the decision of the pending case and it was decided to issue communications to Secretary, MahduTasqiyatul Islamiyya committee.</p> <p>On 26.02.2024 one Mr. Ali Ramy filed a complaint before the Divisional Office, Thrissur stating that there subsist an administrative dispute among the office bearers of the MahduTasqiyatul Islamiyya committee, and he recommended to call for the disputing parties before the Board to find a solution. Both parties appeared before the Board and submitted statements. In the meanwhile, OS No. 66/2023 which was filed before the Tribunal with regard to mutawalliship is transferred by the Tribunal to the Board for taking a decision in that regard. Before taking a decision in that aspect the evidences of both the parties</p>

	<p>are to be considered. Hence office is directed to collect the file from the Waqf Tribunal, Kozhikode and place the matter before the Board on 16/04/2025. Notice shall be issued to all the parties to appear on 16/04/2025.</p>
4.	<p>EP No. (A8) 5771/2019 Edakkazhiyoor Jama-ath Pally, Thrissur</p> <p>This enquiry proceeding was initiated on the basis of a complaint submitted before the administrative site of the board by party number 1 who is the General Secretary of Edakkazhiyoor Jama-ath Palli and Munavirul Islam Sangam. Edakkazhiyoor Jama-ath Palli is a waqf registered with the board as 2746/RA. Their complaint was with regard to Nercha that is conducted in the Jaram situated in the Jama-ath Palli and encroachment into the waqf property. On the basis of the complaint an enquiry was conducted by the Divisional Waqf officer by deputing officers and report is submitted. In the enquiry report it is reported that in a temporary shed located in the waqf property Tomb of Syed Marhoom Imbichikkoya Dom Thangal is situated and one Musthafa Thangal had submitted a complaint stating that certain unislamic rituals are conducted in connection with Aandu Nercha in the said tomb. It has been reported that the committee officials informed that there is no connection with the committee in the conduct of nercha. The committee informed that recently a group of people started camping before the tomb for several months and had started new rituals by encroaching into the properties of waqf and are illegally receiving money from persons coming to the Jama-ath by misleading them.</p> <p>As per the report of the Officer it is seen that earlier only nercha was conducted in the tomb and after that, now they had constructed temporary shed and had erected Bhandarams and are collecting money and are creating difficulty to the persons coming to the waqf. The persons who are conducting nercha and the above acts are not having any right in the property of the waqf</p>

and are doing the acts illegally. On perusal of the judgment in WOS 24/2004 before the Tribunal it is seen that the persons Managing the Jaram is not having any right to claim the land of the Jama-ath. The said property is registered with the Board as the property of Edakkazhiyoor Jama-ath Palli. Hence, camping of persons in the waqf property is against the prevailing law and it amounts to encroachment into the waqf property. They have no right to erect sheds and Bhandarams in the waqf property and the income from the Bhandarams situated in the waqf property is the income of the waqf and not of any third person who are not having any right in the property.

The descendents of Marhoom Syed Hydrose Imbichikkoya Thangal and his sister Beevikunji can conduct religious rituals in his tombs during his Aandu without causing difficulty or obstruction to the Jama-ath and persons visiting the Khabarsthan. The descendents of persons buried in the Khabarsthan of a waqf is not having any right in the property of waqf except visiting the Khabars of their forefathers and to conduct any religious rituals in connection with their aandu. For conducting aandu nercha and Khatham recitals in the Khabarsthan the persons who intend to do the same should obtain permission from the committee but Bhandaram cannot be erected in the waqf property by private parties in connection with the same. No unislamic activity could be conducted in connection with the same.

The Jama-ath Committee had agreed before the Board that like the past years Aandu Nercha can be permitted once in a year During January 11 and 12 after obtaining permission from Committee and they further agreed to permit construction of temporary shed 2 days prior to the above dates which shall be demolished immediately after aandu nercha. The same can be permitted by the committee from time to time as and when permission is sought from them. No other activities is permitted in the Khabarsthan and

	<p>if there is violation in the same the Divisional Waqf Officer upon receiving information from the Jama-ath Committee can issue necessary direction to the concerned Station House Officer for taking necessary action for stopping the same.</p>
5.	<p>OP No. 200/2023 Broadway Hanafi Jama-ath Masjid, Ernakulam</p> <p>The main O.P is filed by the petitioners under section 32,5,64,65,69,70 of the Waqf Act seeking (i)to declare that the 13th respondent/any committee of its has to manage the day-to-day affairs of the waqf without disturbing the rituals, practice, form of prayer and customs presently following in whatsoever manner without any change, operation or induct any new form of practices hither to not followed, (ii) to declare that Juma prayer should conduct in usual practices followed presently, that is the Khatheeb should enter in to the Member before the Juma- Bank and thereafter he should start his Jumu'a Kuthuba in Malayalam in two sessions along with Ham'd, Swalat, Dua, etc as per usual practice and same will be followed by two Rak'ath of Juma Namaz and the respondents no's 1 to 13 have no manner of right or authority to change the original mode of Juma Kuthuba against the above custom, practice and belief hitherto followed in any manner what so ever, (iii) to remove the present committee and depute a new committee to peacefully administer the waqf/masjid in accordance with the law to protect and preserve the religious practices hitherto following and protect from induction of new forms of activities of any nature, (iv) to frame a full- fledged scheme for proper management and administration of waqf, (v) to appoint interim muthavalli for effective and peaceful administration the waqf, fix delimitation of the area of the waqf and list of members from the beneficiary within that area or election of managing committee and other connected purpose as required under law, (vi) to pass a order restraining the respondents from changing the mode of Kuthuba presently practicing hitherto as well as from insurging new form activities in any nature hitherto not followed in any manner whatsoever.</p> <p>The petitioners had also filed I.A 180/2023 seeking to restrain respondents, their men, agent, Khatheeb, Imam or any person under them from changing the mode of Kuthuba presently practicing hitherto as well as from insurging new form activities in any nature hitherto not followed in any manner whatsoever, to appoint interim muthavalli for</p>

	<p>effective and peaceful administration of the waqf, fixation of delimitation of the area of the waqf and list of members from the beneficiary within are fixed for administration of the waqf.</p> <p>The committee which is in administration of the waqf is the committee appointed by the Board as per the existing scheme. There is no stay order from any court of law against the said order and no court had reversed it also. In such circumstances the Board finds that there is no need which warrants appointment of an interim mutawally. Regarding the mode of religious practise the committee had already too decision and is implemented. Hence there is no point in proceeding with this petition. In the light of the above finding the I.A. stands dismissed.</p>
6.	<p>OP No. 254/2023 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam</p> <p>The main O.P was filed by the petitioner under sections 32, 61, 67 and 70 of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking for an order to conduct a comprehensive inquiry regarding the administration of Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-Ath [1st respondent], to quash the order decided by the Executive Committee to cancel the membership of the petitioner in Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-Ath as it is not sustainable under the law, to direct the respondents not to take any illegal action against the petitioner and for other related reliefs.</p> <p>In the original petition the claim of the petitioner was that he is a member of Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-Ath since 24/01/ 2016 and he was issued with a subscription book bearing K.T.A No. 384 (house number) but as the Board had passed an order to audit the accounts of the Jama-ath on the basis of a petition filed by the petitioner herein which resulted in formation of personal grudge against him in the committee and therefore the 2nd and 3rd respondents leveled baseless allegations against the petitioner regarding the membership in the general body. Subsequently without any reasonable grounds the Executive Committee on 1/12/2023 decided to cancel the</p>

membership of the petitioner in Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-Ath and communicated to the petitioner vide letter dated 07/12/2023.

On 19/12/2023 petitioner had filed I.A. No. 284/2023 under section 32 and 47 of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to temporary order restraining the executive committee from implementing the action of cancelling the membership of the petitioner in Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-Ath. The Board heard the matter and the Board via order dated 20/12/2023 had passed an order restraining the respondents from implementing the notice dated 07/12/2023.

On 03/07/2024 petitioner had filed the present I.A. seeking to take prosecution proceedings against the respondents under section 61 of the Waqf Act, 1995 for violating the order of the Board dated 20/12/ 2023. Petitioner claimed that he is the member of jama-ath and he is subjected to every right as other member till implementing the notice dated 07/12/2023, which the Board restrained from implementing. Petitioner stated that the respondents without any reasonable cause merely in the name of instituting suit against them was not ready to receive the monthly subscription from him and even after the order of the Board they had not cared to accept monthly subscription hence violated the order of the Board. No counter filed by the respondents on aforesaid prosecution petition.

From the averments in the petition and upon hearing the counsel for the petitioner the Board finds that the respondents had violated the direction contained in the order passed by the Board in I.A. No. 284/2023 dated 20/12/2023. Eventhough a notice for hearing was issued to the respondents in the present I.A. they had not cared to submit any thing to substantiate that they have complied with the directions issued from the Board. In such circumstances the Board finds this as a fit case for taking prosecution case

	<p>under section 61 of the Waqf Act,1995. On the basis of the above findings the Divisional Waqf Officer is directed to take prosecution steps against the Office bearers of the Jama-ath during and after the issuance of the order from the Board after following all procedural formalities.</p>
7.	<p>OP No. 132/2024 Kayaradi Muslim Jama-ath, Palakkad IA No. 194/2024 order pronounced vide separate sheet. For hearing on other issue. Posted to 16.04.2025</p> <p>IA No. 194/2024</p> <p>The petitioners herein had filed this I.A. 194/2024 is filed by the petitioners seeking, to pass necessary orders directing the 4th respondent to stop the functioning of Al- Bir Pre KG school from the Hidayathul Madrassa and to vacate the building. According to the petitioners the 3rd respondent had executed a Rent Deed with 4th respondent on 15/04/2024 for conducting a Pre KG school in the Madrassa building. The petitioners contended that no general body meeting was convened and no publicity was given to the members and no notice published and based on a hidden agenda the respondents 2&3 rented out 600 sq.ft of the madrassa building to 4th respondent without following any norms and conditions, that due to the inadequacy of space in the madrassa, the students are discontinuing their classes which will adversely affect their future. The petitioners further stated that they had filed a complaint before the Divisional Waqf Officer, Thrissur and requested his urgent interference in the matter and to take immediate action against the opening of school in the Hidayathul Madrassa. Though the Divisional Waqf Officer, Thrissur had issued a notice to the respondents but no effective steps were taken. It is submitted that the respondents 2&3 issued notice to their tenants to evict the shop room since they have used the same for madrassa classes. The respondent committee is fully aware about the inadequacy of spaces, lack of infra structure and limitations in the madrassa. The 4th respondent partitioned their rooms with permanent structure and is kept under their custody.</p> <p>The main O.P. was filed by the petitioners seeking to set aside agreement dated 15.04.2024 executed in favour of the 4th respondent for conducting Al-</p>

	<p>Bir Pre KG School in the waqf property without prior permission of the waqf Board, to direct the 4th respondent to stop the functioning of Al-Bir Pre KG School from the Hidayathul Islam Madrassa and to vacate the Building, to direct the respondents 2&3 to cancel the agreement dated 15.04.2024 and to withdraw the permission granted to the 4th respondent for functioning of Al- Bir Pre KG School in the Hidayathul Islam Madrassa, to initiate proceedings against respondents 2&3 under mismanagement of waqf funds, Order for an enquiry in to the administration of the 1st respondent jama-ath and to ensure that the waqf funds are being properly utilized and for other related reliefs.</p> <p>The 4th respondent filed counter in the I.A. denying all the allegations leveled in the I.A. The respondent submitted that the Al-Bir pre KG School is an institution provides education according to Islamic beliefs. It is affiliated by the Samastha Kerala Islam matha vidyabhyasa board. The madrassa building was let out on 15-04-2024 for a monthly rent of Rs.1200/- for a period of 11 months. The construction work of Al-Bir pre KG School going on at Payyankod in Kayradi. Which will be completed immediately and the establishment will be shifted there. It is also submitted that the lease agreement between the parties is less than 1 year. Therefore sec.56 of the Waqf Act and Waqf properties Lease Rules,2014 is not applicable in this case. The Original Petition is filed only to harass them and there is no bonafides in this petition.</p> <p>On perusal of the prayer in the I.A and the prayer in the main OP it is seen that both the prayers are same as the prayer in the I.A is one of the prayers in the main OP. Hence considering this prayer at this juncture is not proper and can only be considered along with main OP after considering the evidence in the OP. Hence this I.A can be considered along with main OP.</p>
8.	<p>EP No. (A3) 3338 & 3339/CR Haji Essa Haji Moosa Sait Dharmastapanam, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 16.04.2025</p>
9.	<p>OP No. 40/2014 Mulavoor Central Mahallu Jama-ath, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 16.04.2025</p>
10.	<p>OP No. 122/2023 Kuttulanji Methala Muhiyudeen Pally, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 16.04.2025</p>
11.	<p>IA No. 88/2024 in OP No. 116/2021 Kaitharam Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam</p>

IA No. 394/2024 and 88/2024 order passed vide separate sheet. IA No. 56/2024 and IA No. 147/2024 posted along with OP. For steps. Posted to 12.03.2025

I.A No. 56/2024 In OP No. 116/2021

The petitioners herein had filed this OP under Section 32, and 63 of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to conduct election to the 1st respondent, Kaitharam Muslim Jama-ath Committee, under secret ballot system, after preparing a voters list eligible General body members, who have completed 18 years of age. prepared from the subscription / family register maintained by the Jama-ath, as per the byelaw under the Returning officer appointed by the board. According to the petitioners the administration of the Jama-ath and the Waqf has been carried out in accordance with the provisions of the 1977 bye-law and decisions of the General body consonance with the provisions of the 1977 bye-laws and periodical elections are conducted to the Jama-ath Committee as per the bay-law. The petitioners contended that last election to the Committee was conducted during 2016 and the term of the present Committee expired during 2018., that even though the term of the committee had expired in the year 2018, no steps were taken by the respondents to call the General Body for presenting accounts of the jama-ath and to conduct an election for electing a new committee as per the bye law and to hand over the administration to the new committee, that as the term of the committee had expired and the expired committee is continue in administering the waqf illegally the petitioners submitted that it is essential to appoint an Interim Mutawalli for administering the waqf properly and to appoint a Returning Officer for electing a new committee by conducting a free and fair election in the above Jama-ath in a democratic manner and to handover the administration to the newly elected committee in order to protect the waqf and its properties and also the interest of its beneficiaries.

The petitioners herein had filed the present I.A seeking to appoint an Interim Mutawalli for the administration of the Jama-ath till a committee takes charge after conducting election through a Returning Officer.

The respondents had filed counter stating that the administration of the Waqf is vested with the Muthawalli and not the committee. In the Waqf deed the Waqif had made certain recitals with regard to the administration and management of the Waqf property by reserving his right during his life time and right of his lineal descendants after his death. A committee was formed by the members with the permission of the Muthawalli to assist the muthawalli was made as the permanent president of the committee. It is further contented that most of the complainants herein are the members of the committee under the permanent presidential ship of the muthawally who held the office at times. Since 2009 the complainants herein are disputing the Waqf deed, Muthawalli and permanent presidential ship of the muthawally and used to make problems in the general body raising in the above contentions but the general body did not approve their illegal acts. The respondents prayed to dismiss the above OP with the compensatory cost.

Both parties were heard. The Board perused the concerned file and is convinced that there is clear dispute with regard to the mutawalliship of the waqf which is raised in the main OP. Before taking any decision with regard to the administration of the waqf the Board should take a decision in the main OP after taking evidence and considering the same. The main decision that is to be taken by the Board is as to whether administration is carried out by the mutawalli or the committee after considering the waqf deed. Prior to that the Board cannot take a decision with regard to the appointment of Interim Mutawalli as prayed for by the petitioner. Hence this I.A is posted along with main OP.

	<p>I.A No. 88/2024 In OP No. 116/2021</p> <p>The petitioner had filed an I.A, 88/24 seeking to keep the original minutes books pertaining to the periods 1954-1966,1966-1977, 170-1989, 1989-1999, 1999-2010, 2010-2018 produced by the Jama-ath committee in the enquiry proceedings No.EP (A-2)3160/ CR of 2018 till the disposal of the above original application. Counter affidavit filed by the 3rd respondent in the IA. 88/24. It is submitted that there is no legal sanctity for seeking such relief since the relief sought in the above OP is different from that of the enquiry proceedings which was closed earlier. Board had passed order in I.A. No.126/2024 in OP No.98/2024 directing to call for the above documents to that case file and also joint trial of that case along with this case is also ordered. In the light of the above orders this I.A is closed.</p>
12.	<p>OP No. 44/2023 Kuttilanji Methala Muhiyudeen pally, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 16.04.2025</p>
13.	<p>IA No. 240/2024 in OP No. 116/2023 Masjidunnoor Muslim Jama-ath, Idukky</p> <p>The above Original Petition is filed by one Mr. P.K Shaji seeking to initiate criminal prosecution against the Respondents No. 2 to 5 and to remove Respondents No. 4 & 5 from their respective posts, for violating the direction of the Board.</p> <p>Previously the petitioner had approached the Board by filing OP 116/2023 to set aside the decision taken by the Jama-ath committee to remove the petitioner from the primary membership of the jama-ath as the same is illegal and for directing the respondents to reinstate the membership by proving all the rights and services of the petitioner as a member of the jama-ath. The Board had disposed of the OP directing the respondents that, the petitioner is entitled for all the services from the Jama-ath and if the petitioner submits fresh application for discharge/transfer certificate the respondents shall</p>

	<p>issue the same so that the petitioner can obtain membership in another Jama-ath.</p> <p>The petitioner had produced documents to prove that he had remitted monthly subscription to the Jama-ath before and after the order of the Board. He had also produced a request letter submitted by him before the Secretary requesting to issue discharge certificate from the mahal and no decision was taken in his request till this date.</p> <p>Even after receiving notice from the Board the respondents failed to appear before the Board and submit counter and hence they were set ex-parte. From the averments in the petition and upon hearing the counsel for the petitioner the Board is convinced that the office bearers of the Jama-ath at the time of passing of order as well as the present office bearers had failed to comply with the directions from the Board. Hence, Divisional Waqf Officer, Kottayam is directed to initiate prosecution steps against the Office bearers of the Jama-ath during and after the issuance of the order from the Board after following all procedural formalities.</p>
14.	<p>OP No. 98/2024 Kaitharam Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam IA No. 142/2024 order pronounced. IA No. 126/2024 order passed vide separate sheet. For steps. Posted to 12.03.2025</p> <p>I.A No. 126/2024 in OP No. 98/ 2024</p> <p>The main O.P is filed by the petitioners under sections 32 of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking reliefs; (1) to make corrections in the waqf register and related documents that waqf administration is to be done by the Jama-ath committee and not by the lineal descendants of waqif (2) to summon six minutes books during the years 1954-1966,1966-1977, 1982-1989, 1989-1999, 1999-2010 and 2010-2018 produced by the 1st respondent; for the proper disposal of the petition (3) to pass an order for joint disposal of O.P. No. 98/ 2024 and O.P. No 116/2021, which was filed by the petitioners to conduct election to the Kaitharam Muslim Jama-ath Committee.</p> <p>On 8/5/2024 petitioners had filed I.A No. 126/2024 to summon six</p>

minutes books during the years 1954-1966,1966-1977, 1982-1989, 1989-1999, 1999-2010 and 2010-2018 for the proper disposal of the petition. Petitioners prayer is to make corrections in the waqf register and related documents that waqf administration is to be done by the Jama-ath committee and not by the lineal descendants of waqif with the support of minute's books. On 9/10/2024 respondents had filed counter and claimed that this application is liable to be set aside with cost. Respondents stated that claims of petitioners are not maintainable because the petitioners similar claims is not taken into consideration in EP(A2)3160/ CR. The Board discussed the matter in detail and is of the opinion that rejecting a prayer to call for a document is not proper for the just disposal of this case. Hence I.A.No.126/2024 is allowed and the office is directed to transfer the documents mentioned in this petition.

I.A No. 142/2024 in OP No. 98/ 2024

The main O.P is filed by the petitioners under sections 32 of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking reliefs; (1) to make corrections in the waqf register and related documents that waqf administration is to be done by the Jama-ath committee and not by the lineal descendants of waqif (2) to summon six minutes books during the years 1954-1966,1966-1977, 1982-1989, 1989-1999, 1999-2010 and 2010-2018 produced by the 1st respondent; for the proper disposal of the petition (3) to pass an order for joint disposal of O.P. No. 98/ 2024 and O.P. No 116/2021, which was filed by the petitioners to conduct election to the Kaitharam Muslim Jama-ath Committee.

On 06/06/2024 petitioners had filed **I.A No. 142/2024** to pass an order for joint disposal of O.P. No. 98/ 2024 and O.P. No 116/2021, which was filed by the petitioners to conduct election to the Kaitharam Muslim Jama-ath Committee. According to petitioners the matter to be decided in both the

	<p>original petitions are almost same. On 09/01/ 2024 respondent had filed counter and opposed joint trial of aforesaid OP's and claimed that this application is liable to be set aside with cost. Respondent claimed that there is no common issue to decide in aforesaid petitions.</p> <p>The Board discussed the matter in detail and found that the subject matter of both the petitions is closely connected and it is proper to conduct joint trial of both the cases with the first case as the leading case. Hence I.A is allowed and office is directed to post these cases together for Joint Trial with O.P.No.116/2021 as leading case.</p>
15.	<p>OP No. 182/2018 Al Jamiathul Kausariyya, Ernakulam Order not ready. Posted to 16.04.2025</p>
16.	<p>OP No. 186/2018 Abdul Sathar Haji Moosa Sait Dharmastapanam, Ernakulam</p> <p>The OP was filed by the petitioner under sections 32 and 70 of the Waqf Act,1995 seeking to pass an order directing the respondent to continue to pay the monthly maintenance amount to the petitioners considering their living conditions in terms of the Trust deed, to conduct an enquiry about the living conditions and requirements of the petitioners through an officer of the Board, to pass an order after careful examination of the title deed and to de-link the A schedule in the trust deed and other properties according to the recital and intention as disclosed from the intention of the testator and for other related reliefs. The petitioners claimed that they are the great grand children of Abdul Sathar Haji Moosa sait who is the founder of the trust which is registered with the Board. According to the petitioners they are residing in the trust building bearing Cochin Corporation number 41/ 1079 having an extent of 24 cents and the building there in succeeding their father for the last more than 90 years. It is admitted by the petitioners that the 24 cents of property in which the petitioner is</p>

residing is included in the B schedule of the trust property totally at measuring 88 cents of land in which there are three other buildings where three separate families are residing. Petitioners raised a claim that as per the trust did only A schedule alone is set a part as waqf and B schedule property was set apart for the benefit of the Successors of the testator. The petitioner claimed that in paragraph 9 of trust deed the there is a stipulation that out of the total property three fourth has been set a part for the benefit of the Successors of the testator facing difficulties in life and are remitted for food dress and all requirements in life considering their needs and the income should be distributed among the Successors. Petitioners who are successors of the testator resided in the building, possessed and enjoyed the adjacent property and the petitioners were given monthly maintenance amount till the year 2007. Petitioner further submitted that the respondent abruptly stop the payment from the year 2007 on onwards when the petitioners requested the respondent to effect the monthly sum in terms of paragraph 9 of the trust deed. The petitioner for the submitted that they are not encroachers in waqf property and nor they had trespassed in the properties and they are Successors of the status and eligible to hold the property and building. The petitioner also claimed that have their father was a managing trustee of the trust and now the petitioners are they rightful claimant eligible for financial assistance according to the accepted status in the trust. As the Successors of the testator the petitioners are entitled for reasonable maintenance amount from trust fund and two of the petitioners are facing acute ailments and not in a position to live without assistance of others and an enquiry will disclose the real and heartfelt conditions of the petitioners.

The respondent appeared and submitted a preliminary objection stating the details of various litigations put forth by the petitioners in

	<p>which it is claimed that the Dharmasthapanam is not a waqf and the said prayer cannot be considered by the Board and to consider the maintainability of the petition.</p> <p>The Board had called for a report of the officer who was deputed for the administration of the waqf regarding the various financial aids provided from the waqf. From the report it is seen that financial aid is given to several persons on monthly basis and yearly basis. The Board finds that the petitioners 2 and 4 are the persons who are eligible for financial aid from the trust as they are senior citizens who are unmarried and facing several health issues. They belongs to the family of the waqif and hence they are entitled to receive financial aid from the Trust. Considering their age, financial and health conditions the Administrator of Abdul Sathar Haji Moosa Sait Trust which is under the direct administration of the Board under section 65 of the Waqf Act,1995 is hereby directed to pay an amount of Rs.1500/- per month as financial aid to petitioners 2 and 4 with effect from 18/10/2023 on which date this case was initially posted for orders. OP is disposed of accordingly.</p>
17.	OP No. 238/2023 Markkassu Dawathi Sunneeyya, Thrissur Order not ready. Posted to 16.04.2025
18.	EP No. 6868/2023/TSR Kollakod Jama-ath Pally, Palakkad Order not ready. Posted to 16.04.2025
19.	<p>OP No. 120/2024 Nainar Pally Central Jama-ath Committee, Kottayam</p> <p>The main O.P. is filed by the petitioners under section 32 and 67 of the Waqf Act, 1995 seeking to direct the respondents to accept monthly subscription due from the petitioner, to include him in the voters list and to permit to contest the election and also not to keep the petitioner away from the activities of the mahal and for other related reliefs. According to</p>

the petitioner, he is a permanent resident of the mahal which is having separate bye-law for its administration. The respondents refused to accept monthly subscription due from the petitioner alleging that he had forwarded voice message defaming the chief Imam of the mahal and now the election process is going on. But, they are not ready to accept the monthly subscription with an intention to restrain the petitioner from contesting election. Even though specific directions were given by the Divisional Waqf Officer the Jama-ath committee had not accepted the direction. The Board had also passed interim order to the effect which was also not complied by the respondent. Even though notice for hearing was served to the respondents, they failed to appear before the Board and hence, they were set ex-parte.

The petitioner had submitted ex-parte proof affidavit in tune with the contentions in the OP. It is evident that even though the Divisional Officer as well as the Board had given specific directions as prayed in the main OP the Jama-ath Committee had not complied with the order and had not even cared to appear before the Board to submit their version. Such an attitude of the Jama-ath Committee against the provisions of the laws in existence cannot be allowed at all. In such circumstances the Board finds that the present OP is to be allowed and if the order is not complied further action as provided under the provisions of Waqf Act.

Hence, OP is allowed in toto. The Jama-committee is directed to accept monthly subscription due from the petitioner, to include him in the voters list and to permit to contest the election and also not to keep the petitioner away from the activities of the mahal. The

	<p>petitioner shall co-operate with the Jama-ath in its activities and shall pay all amounts due to the Jama-ath that is paid by other members of the Jama-ath. The Jama-ath Committee shall provide all services to the petitioner as a member of the Jama-ath and shall not deny any services that is given to the other members of the Jama-ath. If the committee fails to comply with the above direction the Divisional Waqf Officer, Kottayam shall initiate prosecution steps against the office bearers and shall also take steps for removal of the committee as per the provisions of Waqf Act, 1995.</p>
20.	<p>OP No. 216/2024 Mundakkayam Varikkani Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Order not ready. Posted to 16.04.2025</p>
21.	<p>OP No. 38/2023 Karukaputhoor Mahallu Jama-ath Committee, Palakkad</p> <p>The main O.P.is filed by the petitioners seeking to conduct an over all enquiry with regard to the administration of Karukaputhoor Mahallu Jama-ath Committee. While this matter was pending before the Board, the petitioners had filed the present I.A. seeking to appoint an Advocate or Officer as returning officer for conducting election to the managing committee through secret ballot system after preparing a proper voters list in terms of the bye-law of the jama-ath. The respondents had not submitted any counter even after affording several opportunities. Even then both parties were heard by the board and matter was taken for orders.</p> <p>According to the petitioners the last election to the jama-ath committee was held on 05-06-2022 and the term of the committee is for a period of two years which expired on 04-06-2024. In such circumstance, they had approached the Board for appointing a returning officer. In</p>

	<p>I.A.127/2024 which was filed by the petitioners for a directing to the respondents not to conduct any election to the managing committee without prior permission of the Board, the counsel for the respondent had under took that the election to the 1st respondent jama-ath committee will not be conducted till 06-06-2024. In the man while, the Divisional Waqf Officer, Thrissur had forwarded a board note seeking to appoint a returning officer for conducting election. The said recommendation was made on the basis of an enquiry report which revealed that the term of the committee is over.</p> <p>As it is evident from the enquiry report of the officer that the term of the committee is over the Board finds it proper to appoint a Returning Officer for conducting election to the Jama-ath Committee. On the basis of the above finding Adv. Aslem Sanjid.M is appointed as Returning Officer for conducting election to the Karukaputhoor Mahallu Jama-ath Committee through secret ballot system as per the provisions of the bye law of the Jama-ath. He shall conduct election to the Jama-ath Committee after following all procedural formalities and complete the process within a period of 3 months from today. The JAma-ath committee shall provide all necessary assistance to the Returning Officer for the smooth conduct of the election. The Returning Officer shall be entitled for an initial batta of Rs.25,000/- which shall be paid by the Jama-ath Committee within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order. The Returning officer can fix a nomination fee not exceeding Rs.2000/- which shall be utilized for the expenses and the remaining expenses and fee of the Returning Officer shall be met from the JAma-ath Funds. For additional Counter. Posted to 16.04.2025</p>
22.	OP No. 166/2015 Randarkkara Himayathul Muslimeen Yatheem Khana, Ernakulam

	Commission report filed. Exhibit A 1 to A16 marked. Respondent evidence closed. For hearing. Posted to 16.04.2025
23.	EP No. 867/2016 Aluva Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam No steps taken. For proof affidavit. Posted to 16.04.2025
24.	OP No. 14/2016 Saithoona Islamic Center and Juma masjid, Thrissur For production of High court order. Posted to 16.04.2025
25.	OP No. 106/2017 Amaravathi Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For proof affidavit of the respondent. Posted to 16.04.2025
26.	OP No. 60/2017 Haji Usman Haji Allarakhiya and Ayyoob Haji Abdul Rahiman Trust, Ernakulam Petitioner is no more. Respondent rerepresented. Call on 16.04.2025
27.	OP No. 48/2018 Town Juma Masjid, Thrissur Counter in IA No. 401/2024, 402/2024, 403/2024. Posted to 16.04.2025
28.	OP No. 136/2019 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam For commission report. Posted to 16.04.2025
29.	OP No. 112/2019 Chettiyangadi Hanafi Sunnath Jama-ath, Thrissur Report of the Returning officer. Posted to 16.04.2025
30.	OP No. 48/2020 Nibrasul Islam Sangham, Palakkad For steps. Posted to 16.04.2025
31.	OP No. 66/2020 Ilfathul Islam Sangham (Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam With connected OP No. 50/2020. For proof affidavit. Posted to 16.04.2025
32.	OP No. 50/2020 Ilfathul Islam Sangham (Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam For proof affidavit. Posted to 16.04.2025
33.	OP No. 16/2020 Kuriathole Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam Proof affidavit by the respondent. Posted to 16.04.2025
34.	OP No. 130/2021 Nusrathul Islam Madrassa Committee, Ernakulam Exparte affidavit not filed. For orders. Posted to 16.04.2025
35.	OP No. 96/2022 Vadanappally North Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath Sangham, Thrissur For report of the Returning officer. Posted to 16.04.2025

36.	EP No. A8-3101/2022/TSR Kairadi Mahallu Jama-ath, Palakkad For commission report. Posted to 16.04.2025
37.	OP No. 06/2022 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For objection audit report finally. Posted to 16.04.2025
38.	OP No. 188/2022 Kakkattiri Juma Masjid, Palakkad For steps finally. Posted to 16.04.2025
39.	OP No. 104/2022 Pathiyasserry Muhiyudheen Juma Masjid Mahallu Committee, Thrissur Interim report filed. Petitioners side no evidence. For evidence of respondent. Posted to 16.04.2025
40.	OP No. 174/2022 Muhiyudheen Juma Masjid, Kottayam No proof affidavit filed by the respondent. Name called. Set exparte. For orders. Posted to 16.04.2025
41.	OP No. 98/2022 Paimattom Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For proof affidavit. Posted to 16.04.2025
42.	OP No. 136/2022 Marampally Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam IA No. 36/2025 serve copy and counter. IA No. 413/2024 for counter. Posted to 12.03.2025
43.	OP No. 158/2022 Ilfathul Islam Sangham, Ernakulam With connected OP. Vakkalath of the petitioner relinquished. For orders. Posted to 16.04.2025
44.	OP No. 50/2023 Ponkunnam Muhiyudheen Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Counter by the supplemental respondents. Posted to 16.04.2025
45.	OP No. 32/2023 Thottumugham Padinjare Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For hearing and argument notes. Posted to 16.04.2025
46.	OP No. 192/2023 Ilfathul Islam Sangham(Ponnurunni Jama-ath), Ernakulam with connected OP No. 66/2020. For evidence. Posted to 16.04.2025
47.	OP No. 168/2023 Puvathoor Jama-ath Muhiyudheen Masjid Committee, Ernakulam For objection in audit report. Posted to 16.04.2025
48.	OP No. 150/2023 Puthanchira Padinjare Muslim Jama-ath, Thrissur For commission report. Posted to 16.04.2025

49.	OP No. 222/2023 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Counter in OP. Posted to 16.04.2025
50.	OP No. 34/2023 Amaravathy Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For report of Returning officer. Posted to 16.04.2025
51.	OP No. 256/2023 Ponnad Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath and Hidayathul Islam Sangham, Alappuzha Await audit report. Posted to 16.04.2025
52.	OP No. 158/2023 Ponkunnam Muhiyudheen Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam With connected OP No. 50/2023. For steps if any. Posted to 16.04.2025
53.	OP No. 228/2023 Masjidu Swahaba Mahallu committee, Palakkad For objection in audit report. Posted to 16.04.2025
54.	OP No. 114/2023 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For commission report. Posted to 16.04.2025
55.	OP No. 230/2023 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For commission report. Posted to 16.04.2025
56.	OP No. 148/2023 Puthoor Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Posted to 16.04.2025
57.	OP No. 52/2023 Pazhayalakkidi Hidayathul Islam Mahallu Jama-ath, Palakkad For counter in IA No. 438/2025. Posted to 16.04.2025
58.	OP No. 208/2023 Muhiyudheen Sunni Juma masjid and Assassul Islam Madrassa, Palakkad For report of Returning officer. Posted to 12.03.2025
59.	OP No. 252/2023 Meppara Jama-ath Mosque, Palakkad No statement. For orders. Posted to 16.04.2025
60.	OP No. 246/2024 Cheraman Juma Masjid Mahallu Committee, Thrissur Adv. Jabbar propose vakkalath for all respondents. For counter. Posted to 16.04.2025
61.	OP No. 134/2024 Masjidul Ijaba Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha For counter of R2. Posted to 16.04.2025
62.	OP No. 148/2024 Masjidul Hidaya Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha For counter. Posted to 16.04.2025

63.	OP No. 150/2024 Karattupally Muslim Jama-ath Committee, Ernakulam For counter. Posted to 16.04.2025
64.	OP No. 126/2024 Vadakkekara Muslim Jama-ath Committee, Ernakulam No counter filed. No representation by the parties. For orders. Posted to 16.04.2025
65.	OP No. 170/2024 Kayamkulam Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha For steps. Posted to 16.04.2025
66.	OP No. 188/2024 Alapanangad Sahib Juma Masjid, Thrissur For counter. Posted to 16.04.2025
67.	OP No. 196/2024 Poonthura Puthen Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram For counter. Posted to 27.02.2025
68.	OP No. 198/2024 Vadakkekad Muslim Jama-ath Committee, Thrissur For counter. Posted to 16.04.2025
69.	OP No. 202/2024 Thkkanaryanad Mahal and Munavvirul Islam Sangham, Alappuzha IA No. 34/2025 and IA No. 330/2024. For counter. Posted to 16.04.2025
70.	OP No. 204/2024 Karunagappally Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam Exparte affidavit filed. Exhibit B1 to B8 marked. For orders. Posted to 16.04.2025
71.	OP No. 206/2024 Pengattusserry Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For counter. Posted to 16.04.2025
72.	OP No. 208/2024 Vellikulangara Muhiyudeen Town Juma Masjid, Thrissur For counter. Posted to 16.04.2025
73.	OP No. 230/2024 Movement of Islamic Trust, Thrissur Counter in IA No. 370/2024 and 371/2024 and counter in OP. For appearance of parties. Posted to 12.03.2025
74.	OP No. 80/2024 Pazhayalakkidi Hidayathul Islam Mahallu Jama-ath, Palakkad IA No. 37/2025 for counter. IA No. 38/2025 for hearing. IA No 362/2024 no counter filed. Office is directed to carry out amendment. Posted at Calicut. Posted to 12.02.2025

75.	OP No. 92/2024 Kalvathy Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam For commission report. Posted to 16.04.2025
76.	OP No. 220/2024 Irumbakasserry Muslim Jama-ath Committee, Palakkad Counter filed. For argument notes and hearing. Posted to 12.03.2025
77.	OP No. 222/2024 Punnayoor Jama-ath Committee, Thrissur Counter in IA No. 364/2025. Adv. Rafeek filed vakkalath for R1 to R5 and report of observer. Posted to 16.04.2025
78.	OP No. 228/2024 Ilfathul Islam Sangham (Ponnuruni Jama-ath), Ernakulam For counter. Posted to 12.03.2025
79.	OP No. 238/2024 Punnappadam Kakkod Puthen Pally, Palakkad For Counter in IA No. 386/2024, 387/2024, 388/2024, 385/2024. For counter of R3 and hearing. Put up OP No. 178/2024. Posted to 12.03.2025
80.	OP No. 250/2024 Mannar Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Adv. Sunil Mohammed proposed vakkalath for R1 to R3. For counter and vakkalath. Posted to 16.04.2025
81.	OP No. 252/2024 Karattupally Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam R1 and R2 appeared through authorized person. IA No. 35/2025 impleading petition. For counter. Posted to 16.04.2025
82.	OP No. 254/2024 Cheruthuruthy Juma Masjid and Madrassa Committee, Thrissur Notice to R1 and R2 served. Returning officer appeared. Report filed in connected OP No. 104/2023. For orders. Posted to 16.04.2025
83.	OP No. 256/2024 Ettumanoor Athirampuzha Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Adv. Sajid filed vakkalath for all the respondents. For counter. Posted to 16.04.2025
84.	IA No. 414/2024 in OP No. 34/2021 Alappuzha Thekke Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha IA No. 414/2024 for restoration. Allowed on payment of cost of Rs. 4000/-. IA No. 414/2024 allowed. Posted to 16.04.2025
85.	OP No. 04/2025 Kochi Thykkavu Pally, Ernakulam Adv. Jabbar offered vakkalath for the respondents. For counter.

	Posted to 16.04.2025
86.	IA No. 239/2024 in OP No. 246/2023 Kadooppadam Muslim Jama-ath Committee, Ernakulam Auditor filed explanation. Orders in IA No. 239/2024. Posted to 16.04.2025
87.	OP No. 200/2024 Vadakkekka Muslim Jama-ath Committee, Thrissur For counter and hearing in IA No. 328/2024 and 329/2024 and for counter in IA No. 47/2025. Posted to 12.03.2025
88.	EP No. 146/2018 Puthoor Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Adv. Abdul Hameed appeared for party No. 02. Party No. 1 appeared in person. Statement filed. For orders. Posted to 19.02.2025
89.	EP No. A9-18/2023/KTM Mahajul Islam Madrassa, Alappuzha Party No. 1 to 3 and 5 appeared in person. 1 to 3 and 4 filed statement. For production of document. Posted to 16.04.2025
90.	EP No. A9-6242/2018/TVM Chinnakkada Muslim Jama-ath, Kollam For parties 3 to 5 Adv. Jiji filed vakalath. Parties Adv. Bushra filed vakalath 1 and 2. There will be direction to the committee not to co-opt any person to the committee until it takes a decision. Posted to 27.02.2025
91.	AA No. 268/2024 K. N. M. Salafy Juma Masjid, Idukki Heard. For orders. Posted to 16.04.2025
92.	AA No. 270/2024 Muslim Young Mens Association, Kottayam Heard. For orders. Posted to 16.04.2025
93.	AA No. 272/2024 907 Valiyullahi Makham Jaram, Thrissur Heard. For orders. Posted to 16.04.2025
94.	AA No. 278/2024 Darul Islam Juma Masjid (Aisha Pally), Kottayam Heard. For orders. Posted to 16.04.2025
95.	AA No. 280/2024 Randarkkara Muhiyudeen Pally, Ernakulam Heard. For orders. Posted to 16.04.2025
96.	AA No. 282/2024 Panavalli Manappurath Jama-ath, Alappuzha Heard. For orders. Posted to 16.04.2025
97.	OP No. 14/2024 Kuzhikkattumoola mahallu Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam

	For Hearing. Posted to 12.03.2025
98.	OP No. 34/2022 Kochi Thaikkavu Pally, Ernakulam for counter. Posted to 16.04.2025
99.	OP No. 212/2023 Anwar Masjid, Thrissur Respondent represented by counsel. At P1 to P9 marked. For orders. Posted to 16.04.2025
100.	OP No. 22/2025 Kollakkadav Muslim Jama-ath, Alappuzha Call on 16.04.2025
101.	OP No. 62/2022 Amayoor Juma Masjid, Palakkad For report of the returning officer and steps. Posted to 16.04.2025
102.	OP No. 218/2023 Muhiyudeen Juma Masjid, Madiyoor, Ernakulam For steps. Posted to 16.04.2025
103.	OP No. 46/2025 Kaniyapuram Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram Issue notice through special messenger. Posted to 27.02.2025 IA No. 49/2025 Heard. The Petitioner had made out a prima facie case. Respondents are restrained from managing/interfering in the affairs of the Jama-ath in the capacity as the office bearers without the pervious permission of the Board and 1 st petitioner is permitted to continue to manage the affairs until further orders.
104.	OP No. 50/2025 Nakramkonam Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram Issue notice through special messenger. Posted to 27.02.2025 IA No. 52/2025 Divisional Waqf officer, Thiruvananthapuram is directed to depute an observer to the election meeting scheduled to be held on 07.02.2025. An amount of Rs. 3000/- shall be paid as batta to the observer by the petitioner. Observer is directed to file detailed report on 27.02.2025.
105.	OP No. 44/2025 Puthoor Pally Muslim Jama-ath, Kottayam Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 16.04.2025
106.	OP No. 48/2025 Chandanakkunnu Juma Masjid, Ernakulam Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 16.04.2025
107.	OP No. 42/2025 Kaipparamb Juma-ath Committee, Thrissur Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 16.04.2025

	<p>IA No. 43/2023</p> <p>Heard. The Petitioner had made out a prima facie case. The action of the respondents in suspending from the Jama-ath is stayed and respondents are directed to provide all service to the petitioners.</p>
108.	<p>OP No. 57/2025 Town Masjid Mananthawady, Wayanad</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 02.04.2025</p>
109.	<p>OP No. 55/2025 Melangadi Jama-ath Pally @ Theyyalungal Jama-ath Pally, Malappuram</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 19.03.2025</p> <p>IA No. 53/2025</p> <p>Divisional Waqf Officer, Malappuram is directed to conduct audit of accounts of the Jama-ath for the period of 2022 to 2024 and complete the process. If any amount is to be recovered as certified by the auditor the matter shall be placed before the Board through administrative side.</p>
110.	<p>OP No. 26/2025 Kuzhikkattumoola Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam,</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 16.04.2025</p>
111.	<p>IA No. 42/2025 in OP No. 180/2024 Cheraman Juma Masjid, Thrissur</p> <p>For counter. Posted to 12.03.2025</p>
112.	<p>IA No. 40/2025 in OP No. 08/2025 Kumarapuram Muslim Jama-ath, Thiruvananthapuram</p> <p>Advanced. Counter and vakalath filed. Posted to 19.02.2025</p>
113.	<p>A3 No. 3254/CR Nettoor Mahallu Muslim Jama-ath, Ernakulam</p> <p>Place before the Board on 11.03.2025 through administrative side.</p>
114.	<p>IA No. 31/2025 in OP No. 02/2025 Theruvath Pally Makham Committee, Palakkad</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents. Posted to 16.04.2025</p>